



# Immediate Implant Placement with and without Soft Tissue Graft: A Scoping Review

Athanasia Zachopoulou <sup>a</sup>, Ashot Torchyan <sup>a</sup>  
and Dimokritos Papalexopoulos <sup>b\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Private Practice, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, 11525, Athens, Greece.

<sup>b</sup> Department of Prosthodontics, School of Dentistry, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece.

## **Authors' contributions**

*This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.*

## **Article Information**

### **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/117444>

**Review Article**

**Received: 15/03/2024**

**Accepted: 17/05/2024**

**Published: 21/05/2024**

## **ABSTRACT**

**Background:** Over the past two decades immediate implant placement (IIP) has gained attention for reducing edentulism periods and treatment time. However, IIP cannot correct changes in soft and hard tissues changes after tooth extraction, and restorative esthetics can be compromised. Soft tissue grafts are often recommended to convert thin gingival biotypes to thick before or at the surgery of placing implants in deficient areas.

**Purpose:** The purpose of this paper is to compare direct implant placement with and without soft tissue grafting.

**Materials and Methods:** This paper was designed as a scoping review. The search strategy included online search of biomedical databases using MeSH terms and keywords until December 2023.

\*Corresponding author: Email: [dimpapalex@hotmail.com](mailto:dimpapalex@hotmail.com);

**Cite as:** Zachopoulou, A., Torchyan, A., & Papalexopoulos, D. (2024). Immediate Implant Placement with and without Soft Tissue Graft: A Scoping Review. *International Journal of Research and Reports in Dentistry*, 7(1), 52–57. Retrieved from <https://journalijrrd.com/index.php/IJRRD/article/view/184>

**Results:** Several studies and systematic reviews relevant to our topic were identified. But the results of these conflicted. More specifically, some report that there is no significant difference in the marginal change in bone level between the two treatment modalities, while others report that midfacial bone levels may be more stable after IIP and connective tissue graft (CTG), as CTG enhances soft tissue stability in the midfacial region after IIP.

**Conclusions:** Even though available data show that simultaneous soft tissue augmentation around delayed or immediately placed implants results in an enhancement of both the quality and quantity of the tissues surrounding an implant, the level of evidence is low, these data should be interpreted with caution and more, well-designed clinical studies should be carried out for robust data and conclusions to be derived from them

*Keywords: Immediate implant placement; dental implant; soft tissue; connective tissue graft.*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Over the past two decades immediate implant placement (IIP) has received much attention from researchers [1]. Clinicians and patients could benefit from reducing the edentulous periods and the number of treatments to be performed (Hartlev et al., 2014;) [2]. However, IIP cannot override the inevitable changes in the soft and hard tissues after tooth extraction [3-6].

Esthetics can be compromised when extracting a single tooth as it can be difficult to achieve a natural-looking restoration and healthy tissues of appropriate contour and color surrounding the implant. Midfacial loss is the predominant consequence of IIP, as documented by Cosyn et al. [7] Chen and Buser [8] and Lin et al. [9]. Furthermore, a comprehensive study conducted in 2014 by Chen and Buser revealed that a significant recession of the midfacial mucosa, measuring at least 1 mm, occurred in 26% of implants placed early. Furthermore, it is imperative to keep in mind that the clinical situation may deteriorate gradually over time despite initial favorable results observed within the year following the procedure [10,11].

In a new prospective 10-year study by Seyssens et al. [12] 33% of participants reported severe midface recession. It appears that the gingival biotype influences not only the dimensions of the facial bony wall but also the extent of soft tissue collapse and the likelihood of midfacial recession in the immediate vicinity of implants [13,14,10,15]

As thin mucosa is prevalent in the anterior maxilla, soft tissue grafts are frequently suggested to convert a thin gingival biotype to a thicker one prior to implant placement in an area that appears deficient. Several reviews have been published with respect to IIP with additional soft tissue augmentation using connective tissue

graft (CTG): Lin et al. [9] Lee et al. [1] and Rojo et al. [16] Nevertheless, during that period, there was a lack of satisfactory controlled clinical studies to directly assess the impact of implants placed immediately whether with or without soft tissue support.

The purpose of this paper is to compare direct implant placement with and without soft tissue grafting in terms of bone and mucosa parameters.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was designed as a scoping review of the existing publications. The search strategy included an electronic literature search of the MEDLINE (Pubmed), Web of Science, Embase, and Cochrane databases in order to find clinical studies that met the specified criteria until December 2023. A combination of appropriate medical subject headings (MeSH) terms and key words was used either simple or multiple conjunctions using boolean operators. A manual search by a second, independent reviewer was also carried out.

## 3. RESULTS

Among the retrieved studies, a randomized controlled trial (RCT) conducted to determine whether a connective tissue graft affected volume with immediate placement and provisionalization of implants in the esthetic zone [17] offered important conclusions. Theoretically, the utilization of a connective tissue graft should result in increased stability of the soft tissues surrounding the implant. Regarding volume measurements at 12 months, no substantial disparities were observed between the two groups. The test group exhibited a greater degree of stability in midfacial mucosa levels from baseline to 12 months compared to the

control group. It is clear from these results that the application of a CTG has no effect on mucosal volume loss. However, it appears that the utilization of a CTG yields more stable tissue levels in mid-facial tissue following a year of observation.

Chappuis et al. (2013) attribute a substantial proportion of the volume reduction observed in both groups to the immediate implantation of implants and subsequent natural bone resorption in the facial bone. The people in the study group might have had a more noticeable drop in mucosal volume. Using the endoscopic envelope method to place the CTG below the mucosa could explain this. By stopping blood flow from the facial tissue to the bone walls, this treatment may speed up bone resorption. Also, it's still not clear how the change in CTG size in this study led to the drop in mucosal volume. An ultrasound a year later showed that the thickness of the mucosa had grown three months after the connective tissue graft (CTG) had been placed (De Bruyckere, Eghbali, Younes, De Bruyn, and Cosyn, 2015). This means that connective tissue grafts (CTGs) probably won't be able to fix the changes that were made to the facial bone wall by placing and immediately provisionalizing implants. Additional research employing cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) data is required to ascertain the alterations that take place in the facial bone subsequent to the application of a connective tissue graft (CTG) in the presence of mucosal volume loss.

#### 4. DISCUSSION

This review aimed to assemble all available data regarding the effect of soft tissue graft in immediate implant placement. Despite the presence of several studies regarding this topic the level of available evidence for analysis is low [18] However, the available data show that simultaneous soft tissue augmentation around delayed or immediately placed implants results in an enhancement of both the quality and quantity of the tissues surrounding implants.

According to available literature soft tissue augmentation seems to be advantageous in terms of buccal tissue thickness increase, marginal bone loss reduction, thicker mucosal phenotype, midfacial recession prevention, pink esthetic score (PES) and visual analogue score (VAS) [19-24]. Contemporary data show that this technique should be an option if need for graft material cover or absence of attached gingiva is

present. Regarding buccal tissue thickness there is a gain in both immediate and delayed placement cases, but it is bigger in the latter [18].

Guided bone regeneration (GBR) is a technique that has been proposed to minimize bone resorption by filling the gap between the implant and the buccal bone wall in cases of immediate implant placement [17]. Even though only two trials comparing GBR with connective tissue graft in delayed placement cases are present, their effect is similar in acquired buccal bone thickness and gingival levels [25,26]

Regardless of novel data fundamental principles must be respected and the importance of gingival biotype should not be overlooked. Several studies have highlighted it as a crucial factor for the final esthetic outcome [27] Soft tissue thickness has been connected to less midfacial recession in thick biotypes, thereby playing an important role in maintaining bone levels around the implants [28]

This study has certain limitations. It constitutes a scoping review meaning that available evidence has not been systematically reviewed in a methodology that ensures sensitivity and specificity. However, recent studies have highlighted that available data are of low quality leading to cautious interpretation [18] Consequently, more, well-designed clinical studies, also including some biomaterials [29] are needed for robust data and conclusions to be derived from them [30-34].

#### 5. CONCLUSIONS

The available data show that simultaneous soft tissue augmentation around delayed or immediately placed implants results in an enhancement of both the quality and quantity of the tissues surrounding implants. However, the level of evidence is low, these data should be interpreted with caution and more, well-designed clinical studies should be carried out for robust data and conclusions to be derived from them.

#### CONSENT

It is not applicable.

#### ETHICAL APPROVAL

It is not applicable.

## COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## REFERENCES

1. Lee HK, Hong JY, Shin SI, Herr Y, Lim HC, Chung JH. Soft-tissue volume augmentation using a connective tissue graft and a volume-stable collagen matrix with polydeoxyribonucleotide for immediate implant placement: A pilot study in a dog model. *J Periodontal Implant Sci.* 2024;5. DOI: 10.5051/jpis.2400240012 Epub ahead of print. PMID: 38725426.
2. Khzam N, Arora H, Kim P, Fisher A, Mattheos N, Ivanovski S. Systematic review of soft tissue alterations and esthetic outcomes following immediate implant placement and restoration of single implants in the anterior maxilla. *J Periodontol.* 2015;86:1321-1330. DOI:10.1902/jop.2015.150287
3. Botticelli D, Berglundh T, Lindhe J. Hard-tissue alterations following immediate implant placement in extraction sites. *J Clin Periodontol.* 2004;31:820-828. DOI:10.1111/j.1600-051X.2004.00565.x
4. Araujo MG, Sukekava F, Wennstrom JL, Lindhe J. () Ridge alterations following implant placement in fresh extraction sockets: an experimental study in the dog. *J Clin Periodontol* 2005;32:645-652. DOI:10.1111/j.1600-051X.2005.00726.x
5. Covani U, Cornelini R, Barone A. (Vertical crestal bone changes around implants placed into fresh extraction sockets. *J Periodontol* 2007;78:810-815. DOI:10.1902/jop.2007.060254
6. Vignoletti F, de Sanctis M, Berglundh T, Abrahamsson I Sanz M. Early healing of implants placed into fresh extraction sockets: an experimental study in the beagle dog. II: ridge alterations. *J Clin Periodontol* 2009;36:688-697. DOI:10.1111/j.1600-051X.2009.01439.x.
7. Cosyn J, Hooghe N, De Bruyn H. A systematic review on the frequency of advanced recession following single immediate implant treatment. *J Clin Periodontol.* 2012a;39:582-589. DOI:10.1111/j.1600-051X.2012.01888.x.
8. Chen ST, Buser D.) Esthetic outcomes following immediate and early implant placement in the anterior maxilla--a systematic review. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants.* 2014;29Suppl:186-215. DOI:10.11607/jomi.2014suppl.g3.3.
9. Lin GH, Chan HL, Wang HL. () Effects of currently available surgical and restorative interventions on reducing midfacial mucosal recession of immediately placed single-tooth implants: A systematic review. *J Periodontol* 2014;85:92-102. DOI:10.1902/jop.2013.130064.
10. Kan JY, Rungcharassaeng K, Lozada JL, Zimmerman G. Facial gingival tissue stability following immediate placement and provisionalization of maxillary anterior single implants: a 2- to 8-year follow-up. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2011;26:179-187.
11. Cosyn J, Eghbali A, Hermans A, Vervaeke S, De Bruyn H, Cleymaet R. A 5-year prospective study on single immediate implants in the aesthetic zone. *J Clin Periodontol* 2016;43:702-709. DOI:10.1111/jcpe.12571.
12. Seyssens L, De Lat L, Cosyn J. A 10-year prospective study on single immediate implants. *J Clin Periodontol* in-press; 2020.
13. Bittner N, Schulze-Spate U, Silva C, Da Silva JD, Kim DM, Tarnow D, Gil MS, Ishikawa-Nagai S. Changes of the alveolar ridge dimension and gingival recession associated with implant position and tissue phenotype with immediate implant placement: A randomised controlled clinical trial. *Int J Oral Implantol (New Malden)* 2019;12:469-480.
14. Kinaia BM, Ambrosio F, Lamble M, Hope K, Shah M, Neely AL. Soft tissue changes around immediately placed implants: a systematic review and meta-analyses with at least 12 months of follow-up after functional loading. *j periodontol* 2017;88: 876-886. DOI:10.1902/jop.2017.160698
15. Evans CD, Chen ST. () Esthetic outcomes of immediate implant placements. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2008;19:73-80. DOI:10.1111/j.1600-0501.2007.01413.x.
16. Rojo R, Prados-Frutos JC, Manchon A, Rodriguez-Molinero J, Sammartino G, Calvo Guirado JL, Gomez-de Diego R. () Soft Tissue Augmentation Techniques in Implants Placed and Provisionalized Immediately: A Systematic Review. *Biomed Res Int* 2016;7374129. DOI:10.1155/2016/7374129.
17. van Nimwegen W, Raghoobar G, Zuiderveld E, Jung R, Meijer H,

- Mühlemann S. (). Immediate placement and provisionalization of implants in the aesthetic zone with or without a connective tissue graft: A 1-year randomized controlled trial and volumetric study; 2018.
18. Aldhohrah T, Qin G, Liang D, Song W, Ge L, Mashrah MA, Wang L. Does simultaneous soft tissue augmentation around immediate or delayed dental implant placement using sub-epithelial connective tissue graft provide better outcomes compared to other treatment options? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One*. 2022;17(2): e0261513.  
DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0261513.  
PMID: 35143503; PMCID: PMC8830641.
  19. Jiang X, Di P, Ren S, Zhang Y, Lin Y. Hard and soft tissue alterations during the healing stage of immediate implant placement and provisionalization with or without connective tissue graft: A randomized clinical trial. *J Clin Periodontol*. 2020;47(8):1006-1015.  
DOI: 10.1111/jcpe.13331.  
Epub 2020 Jun 28. PMID: 32542725.
  20. Rajan SA, Ramabhadran BK, Emmatty R, Paul TP, Jose P, Ameyaroy DK, Variath PT, Joseph M. Comparative evaluation of different soft tissue coverage techniques at immediate implant sites: A Cohort Study. *J Contemp Dent Pract*. 2021;22 (11):1268-1274.  
PMID: 35343452.
  21. Guglielmi D, Di Domenico GL, Aroca S, Vignoletti F, Ciaravino V, Donghia R, de Sanctis M. Soft and hard tissue changes after immediate implant placement with or without a sub-epithelial connective tissue graft: Results from a 6-month pilot randomized controlled clinical trial. *J Clin Periodontol*. 2022;49(10):999-1011.  
DOI: 10.1111/jcpe.13685.  
Epub 2022 Jul 6. PMID: 35713267.
  22. Fettouh AIA, Ghallab NA, Mina NA, Abdelmalak MS, Abdelrahman AAG, Shalaby AF, Shemais N. Hard and soft tissue alterations using dual-zone concept versus connective tissue graft at maxillary immediate implant placement: A 1-year randomized clinical and volumetric trial. *Clin Oral Implants Res*. 2024;35(5):510-525.  
DOI: 10.1111/clar.14247.  
Epub 2024 Feb 19. PMID: 38372450.
  23. Rondone EM, Leitão-Almeida B, Pereira MS, Fernandes GVO, Borges T. The Use of Tissue Grafts Associated with Immediate Implant Placement to Achieve Better Peri-Implant Stability and Efficacy: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *J Clin Med*. 2024;13(3):821.  
DOI: 10.3390/jcm13030821.  
PMID: 38337515; PMCID: PMC10856075.
  24. Zuiderveld EG, Meijer HJA, Gareb B, Vissink A, Raghoobar GM. Single immediate implant placement in the maxillary aesthetic zone with and without connective tissue grafting: Results of a 5-year randomized controlled trial. *J Clin Periodontol*. 2024;51(4):487-498.  
DOI: 10.1111/jcpe.13918  
Epub 2024 Jan 16. PMID: 38228860.
  25. De Bruyckere T, Eeckhout C, Eghbali A, Younes F, Vandekerckhove P, Cleymaet R, Cosyn J. A randomized controlled study comparing guided bone regeneration with connective tissue graft to re-establish convexity at the buccal aspect of single implants: A one-year CBCT analysis. *J Clin Periodontol*. 2018;45(11):1375-1387.  
DOI: 10.1111/jcpe.13006  
PMID: 30133718.
  26. D'Elia C, Baldini N, Cagidiaco EF, Nofri G, Goracci C, de Sanctis M. Peri-implant soft tissue stability after single implant restorations using either guided bone regeneration or a connective tissue graft: a randomized clinical trial. *Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent*. 2017;37: 413-421.  
Available: <https://doi.org/10.11607/prd.2747>  
PMID: 28402353
  27. Gu YX, Shi JY, Zhuang LF, Qiao SC, Xu YY, Lai HC. Esthetic outcome and alterations of soft tissue around single implant crowns: a 2-year prospective study. *Clin Oral Implants Res*. 2015;26 (8):909-914.  
DOI: 10.1111/clr.12408.  
Epub 2014 Apr 21. PMID: 24750306.
  28. Isler SC, Uraz A, Kaymaz O, Cetiner D. An Evaluation of the relationship between peri-implant soft tissue biotype and the severity of peri-implantitis: a cross-sectional study. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants*. 2019;34(1):187-196.  
DOI: 10.11607/jomi.6958.  
Epub 2018 Oct 3. PMID: 30282087.
  29. Happe A, Debring L, Schmidt A, Fehmer V, Neugebauer J. Immediate implant placement in conjunction with acellular dermal matrix or connective tissue graft: A Randomized Controlled Clinical Volumetric

- Study. Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent. 2022;42(3):381-390.  
DOI: 10.11607/prd.5632  
PMID: 35472115.
30. De Angelis P, Manicone PF, Rella E, Liguori MG, De Angelis S, Tancredi S, D'Addona A. The effect of soft tissue augmentation on the clinical and radiographical outcomes following immediate implant placement and provisionalization: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Implant Dent. 2021;7(1):86.  
DOI: 10.1186/s40729-021-00365-4.  
PMID: 34435229; PMCID: PMC8387538.
31. Hartley J, Kohberg, P, Ahlmann S, Andersen NT, Schou S, Isidor F. Patient satisfaction and esthetic outcome after immediate placement and provisionalization of single-tooth implants involving a definitive individual abutment. Clin Oral Implants Res 2014;25:1245-1250.  
DOI:10.1111/clr.12260.
32. Lee CT, Tao CY, Stoupe J. () The Effect of Subepithelial Connective Tissue Graft Placement on Esthetic Outcomes After Immediate Implant Placement: Systematic Review. J Periodontol 2016;87:156-167.  
DOI:10.1902/jop.2015.150383
33. van Steenberghe D, Callens A, Geers L, Jacobs R. The clinical use of deproteinized bovine bone mineral on bone regeneration in conjunction with immediate implant installation. Clin Oral Implants Res. 2000 Jun;11(3):210-6.  
DOI:10.1034/j.16000501.2000.011003210.x.  
PMID: 11168212
34. De Bruyckere T, Eghbali A, Younes F, De Bruyn H, Cosyn J. Horizontal stability of connective tissue grafts at the buccal aspect of single implants: A 1-year prospective case series. Journal of Clinical Periodontology, 2015;9:876–882.  
Available:https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpe.12448

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Peer-review history:*

*The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:*

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/117444>