



# Effect of PGR on Growth and Establishment of Grapes (*Vitis vinifera* L.) cv. Thompson Seedless under Prayagraj Agro Climatic Condition

Nitin Puri <sup>a+++\*</sup>, Saket Mishra <sup>a#</sup>, Vijay Bahadur <sup>a†</sup>  
and V. M. Prasad <sup>a‡</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Horticulture, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences (SHUATS), Prayagraj-211007, Uttar Pradesh, India.

## Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2023/v35i122968

## Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/99403>

Original Research Article

Received: 02/03/2023  
Accepted: 04/05/2023  
Published: 11/05/2023

## ABSTRACT

Grapes (*Vitis vinifera*) is one of the important commercial subtropical crops, which is a good source of vitamins and minerals. It is grown throughout the world except the places with extreme temperature and high altitude. So, to find out Effect of PGR on growth and establishment of grapes cv. Thompson Seedless under Prayagraj agro climatic condition; a field experiment was conducted at Horticulture Research Farm, Department of Horticulture, Naini Agriculture Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Science, Prayagraj, U.P-211007 during the year 2022. The experiment comprised of 10 different treatment of three different plant growth regulator comprising of GA<sub>3</sub>, IAA and IBA with different concentration of 50 ppm, 100 ppm and 150

<sup>++</sup> M.Sc. Scholar;

<sup>#</sup> Assistant Professor;

<sup>†</sup> Head of Department;

<sup>‡</sup> Professor;

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: 21mshfs042@shiats.edu.in, nitinpuri2905@gmail.com;

ppm; 100 ppm, 300 ppm and 500 ppm; 1000 ppm, 2000 ppm and 3000 ppm respectively. The main objective of the experiment was to evaluate the root and vegetative growth of grapevines with their success and survival in the Prayagraj agro-climatic zone. From the present investigation, treatment T<sub>9</sub> (IBA @3000 ppm) was found best with least days to emerge from the bud from the grapes cutting (6.4 days) with maximum amount of sprouting percentage (77.7%). It also showed the best root growth parameters with maximum root length 25.1cm @120DAP and maximum number of roots per cutting 49.3 @120DAP. It also showed best vegetative growth parameters with maximum shoot length 13.4cm @120DAP, maximum stem diameter 28.1mm @120DAP, highest number of leaves with 12.5 @120 DAP, maximum leaf area 73.9cm<sup>2</sup>, maximum plant height after transplanting 14.9cm and highest survival percentage 88.8% of grapes plant. The T<sub>9</sub> showed the best results with all major parameters when cuttings were treated with different concentrations of plant growth regulators.

**Keywords:** Grape; cutting; IBA; GA3; IAA; roots; shoots; leaves; survival of plants.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Grapes (*Vitis vinifera*) is one of the important commercial subtropical crops, which is a good source of vitamins and minerals. It is grown throughout the world except the places with extreme temperature and high altitude. It is one of the most delicious, nourishing and refreshing fruits of the world and is classified as a protective fruit. Grapes are grown in the world for a very long time. There are a number of varieties that are grown in the world with about 72 million tons of grapes annually. At the time of civilization the grapes production was started. It was started as early as 6500 B.C. as per information of archaeologists. By 4000 B.C., grape growing extended to Asia Minor and grapes production spread throughout Europe by the Romans [1-4].

The grapes is a subtropical fruit belonging to the family Vitaceae made up of about 60-80 species and 12 genera, native to different parts of the world including temperate zone and tropical regions. It is usually a woody vine, climbing by means of tendrils and grows up to a length of 17 meters or more. The leaves are palmate lobed, alternate and always tooth-edged. Botanically, grapes is a berry, with the juicy pulp and seed inside it. It contains minerals such as calcium and phosphorus and is a good source of Vitamin-A. It also contains glucose and fructose depending upon variety [5-7].

Plant growth regulators or plant bio-regulators are the hormone based-chemicals that help to improve the performance of horticultural crops and fruits crops. There are a lot of plant growth regulators mainly categorized in groups like auxins, gibberellins, cytokinins, abscisic acid, ethylene and growth retardants, etc. These help

to influence flowering, fruit set, retention, plant growth and development, ripening, shelf life and fruit quality characters in different tropical and subtropical fruits at different concentrations of PGR's [8-10].

The auxin is a growth regulator for the plant as it helps the plant in cell division and cell expansion. The plant uses a number of cellular mechanisms to regulate auxin levels and response IBA, NAA, etc. are the main examples of auxins. These help plants to grow to a fuller length as it helps in root initiation, stem bending and leaf epinasty. The cuttings are quickly dipped in the solution of auxin-IBA (Indole-3-Butyric Acid) at the time of planting. IAA (Indole-3-acetic acid) is the common plant hormone which regulates various aspects of plant growth and development. It helps in many different ways for cell development as it include cell elongation and cell division. The cuttings were prolong dipped in the IAA-solution before planting [11-14]. Gibberellic acid (GA3) is the plant growth hormone and helps in the development of the plant. It stimulates seed germination, trigger transitions from meristem to shoot growth, vegetative to flowering, etc. This also regulates different mechanisms in the plant development. The cuttings are prolong dipped in the GA3 solution [15,16].

Therefore keeping this knowledge in mind the present experiment was carried out to study the "Effect of PGR on growth and establishment of grapes (*Vitis vinifera* L.) cv. Thompson Seedless under Prayagraj agro climatic condition" with the following objectives:

1. Effect of PGR on root and vegetative growth of grapevines cv. Thompson seedless.
2. Effect of PGR on survival and success of hardwood cuttings of grapes.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted at the Horticulture research farm, Department of Horticulture, Naini Agricultural Institute, SHUATS Allahabad, (U.P.) India. The objective was to find out the best effect of plant growth regulators on rooting and vegetative growth of grape (*Vitis vinifera*) cv. Thompson seedless. The design applied for statistical analysis was carried out with randomized block design having three factors with three levels of GA<sub>3</sub> @ 50 ppm, 100 ppm and 150 ppm, IAA @ 100 ppm, 300 ppm and 500 ppm, IBA @ 1000 ppm, 2000 ppm and 3000 ppm and three levels of ppm respectively with growing media of soil, coco peat and FYM in the polythene bags. The cuttings were prolonged dipped in IAA and GA<sub>3</sub> for 24hrs before planting them in the polybags. The quick dip was given to the cuttings in IBA for 30sec. at the time of

planting. The 90 cuttings were planted with 30 for each growth regulator. The planting was done in the month of September and transplanting was done in the month of February.

The different observations were calculated from seedlings grown from the grapes cutting in the polybags with the effect of different concentrations of PGRs. The rooting parameters and vegetative growth parameters were calculated on the grapes cuttings. The rooting parameters have observation of emergence of buds, sprouting percentage, mortality percentage, root length and number of roots per cutting. The vegetative growth parameters have observation of shoot length, stem diameter, number of leaves per cutting and leaf area. The plant height and survival percentage of grapes were also noted after transplanting of cuttings into the field.

### Treatment Details:

**Table 1. Different concentrations of PGRs in which cuttings were treated**

Treatment	Name of growth regulator	Concentration	Method of treatment
T <sub>0</sub>	Control	0 ppm	Prolong Dip
T <sub>1</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> (Gibberellic Acid)	50 ppm	Prolong Dip
T <sub>2</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub>	100 ppm	Prolong Dip
T <sub>3</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub>	150 ppm	Prolong Dip
T <sub>4</sub>	IAA (Indole Acetic Acid)	100 ppm	Prolong Dip
T <sub>5</sub>	IAA	300 ppm	Prolong Dip
T <sub>6</sub>	IAA	500 ppm	Prolong Dip
T <sub>7</sub>	IBA (Indole Butyric Acid)	1000 ppm	Quick Dip
T <sub>8</sub>	IBA	2000 ppm	Quick Dip
T <sub>9</sub>	IBA	3000 ppm	Quick Dip

**Table 2. Effect of PGR on buds emergence on the grapes cutting**

Treatment No.	Treatments Details	Days of emergence of buds	Sprouting Percentage (%)	Mortality Percentage (%)
T <sub>0</sub>	Control	13.5	11.1	88.8
T <sub>1</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> (Gibberellic Acid)	11.3	22.2	77.7
T <sub>2</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub>	11.5	44.4	55.5
T <sub>3</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub>	12.0	44.4	55.5
T <sub>4</sub>	IAA (Indole Acetic Acid)	13.2	55.5	44.4
T <sub>5</sub>	IAA	12.7	44.4	55.5
T <sub>6</sub>	IAA	9.0	66.6	33.3
T <sub>7</sub>	IBA (Indole Butyric Acid)	7.1	66.6	33.3
T <sub>8</sub>	IBA	6.9	66.6	33.3
T <sub>9</sub>	IBA	6.4	77.7	22.2
F test		S	S	S
SEm. (±)		0.70	15.53	15.53
CD (5%)		1.47	32.63	32.63

**Table 3. Effect of PGR on root and shoot growth on the grapes cutting at 120 DAP**

Treatment No.	Treatments Details	Root length (cm)	No. of roots per cuttings	Shoot length (cm)	Stem diameter (mm)
T <sub>0</sub>	Control	7.1	14.0	7.8	16.6
T <sub>1</sub>	GA3 (Gibberellic Acid)	11.8	17.3	7.8	17.7
T <sub>2</sub>	GA3	13.7	21.3	7.8	20.0
T <sub>3</sub>	GA3	15.2	24.0	7.9	20.3
T <sub>4</sub>	IAA (Indole Acetic Acid)	15.9	26.6	7.9	22.0
T <sub>5</sub>	IAA	16.8	34.3	8.0	22.7
T <sub>6</sub>	IAA	16.6	34.6	8.9	23.5
T <sub>7</sub>	IBA(Indole Butyric Acid)	20.6	39.6	10.7	24.7
T <sub>8</sub>	IBA	23.3	45.0	13.0	26.4
T <sub>9</sub>	IBA	25.1	49.3	13.4	28.1
F test		S	S	S	S
SEm.(±)		1.04	1.96	0.38	0.80
CD (5%)		2.18	4.11	0.80	1.68

**Table 4. Effect of PGR on leaves parameter on the grapes cutting at 120 DAP**

Treatment No.	Treatments Details	Number of leaves per cutting	Leaf area (cm <sup>2</sup> )
T <sub>0</sub>	Control	6.0	13.2
T <sub>1</sub>	GA3 (Gibberellic Acid)	6.1	17.7
T <sub>2</sub>	GA3	6.2	22.7
T <sub>3</sub>	GA3	7.0	32.8
T <sub>4</sub>	IAA (Indole Acetic Acid)	7.7	42.7
T <sub>5</sub>	IAA	8.3	53.6
T <sub>6</sub>	IAA	8.5	62.5
T <sub>7</sub>	IBA(Indole Butyric Acid)	9.2	64.9
T <sub>8</sub>	IBA	11.2	71.7
T <sub>9</sub>	IBA	12.5	73.9
F test		S	S
SEm.(±)		0.42	2.16
CD (5%)		0.87	4.54

**Table 5. Effect of PGR on survival and plant height on the grapes cutting after transplanting**

Treatment No.	Treatments Details	Plant height (cm)	Survival percentage (%)
T <sub>0</sub>	Control	7.0	22.2
T <sub>1</sub>	GA3 (Gibberellic Acid)	7.5	44.4
T <sub>2</sub>	GA3	8.8	44.4
T <sub>3</sub>	GA3	8.9	44.4
T <sub>4</sub>	IAA (Indole Acetic Acid)	10.1	44.4
T <sub>5</sub>	IAA	11.7	55.5
T <sub>6</sub>	IAA	12.1	55.5
T <sub>7</sub>	IBA(Indole Butyric Acid)	12.9	55.5
T <sub>8</sub>	IBA	14.0	77.7
T <sub>9</sub>	IBA	14.9	88.8
F test		S	S
SEm.(±)		0.41	12.93
CD (5%)		0.86	27.16

The experiment was carried out in a single factor Randomized Block Design. The cuttings were treated with growth regulators like GA<sub>3</sub>, IAA and

IBA. Each growth regulators had 3 different level of concentrations viz., 50 ppm, 100 ppm, 150 ppm in GA<sub>3</sub>; 100 ppm, 300 ppm, 500 ppm in IAA

and 1000 ppm, 2000 ppm, 3000 ppm in IBA. The cuttings were grown in the growth media consisting of soil, FYM and coco peat. The cuttings treated with IBA were quick dipped in the solution whereas the cuttings were prolonged dipped in the GA<sub>3</sub> and IAA solution. The observations were calculated at different days intervals i.e.30, 60 and 120 DAP.

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The cuttings with IBA@3000 PPM showed the best results of different parameters on the grapes cutting. The early bud emergence with high sprouting percentage were observed in the cuttings with IBA@3000 ppm. The role of high IBA concentration in the activation of dominant bud through the enhanced activity of hydrolytic enzymes, acting upon stored food in the cuttings. The root length and number of roots per cutting were noted best with the effect of IBA on the grapes cutting. These findings were closely related to the findings of Upadhyay and Badyal [17] in pomegranate and Stancato et al. [18] in cactus and Singh et al. [19] in pomegranate.

The shoot length and stem diameter were also observed best in the IBA treated cuttings. This may be due to increased synthesis and accumulation of growth promoting substances as well as availability of more nutrients under this treatment, which enhance the rooting in cuttings. In terms of number of leaves per cutting, GA<sub>3</sub> treated cuttings had a little effect in the shoot proliferation but IBA showed best results with IBA @3000ppm followed by 2000 ppm and 1000 ppm of IBA. These findings were closely related to the findings of Singh et al. [19] in pomegranate, Kaur et al. [20] in fig, Ali et al. [21] in fig, Rolaniya et al. [22] in grapes and Kumar et al. [23] in pomegranate.

The plant heights were observed in the grapes plant after transplanting them into the field and the IBA treated plants showed a good growth as compared to the other plant growth regulators. This might be attributed to higher amounts of growth-promoting chemicals and accessible nutrients because of using different plant growth regulators. The growth and establishment of grapes plant were maximum in the IBA treated plants followed by IAA and GA<sub>3</sub>.These findings are closely related with Patil et al. [1] in grape, Jadhav et al. [24] in Rangpur lime, Burman et al. [2] in grapes, Narula et al. [25] in plum and Rolaniya et al. [22].

### 4. CONCLUSION

On the basis of result of the experiment in hardwood cutting of grapes cv. Thompson Seedless, the following conclusions may be made-

1. Among the different plant growth regulators, IBA responded well to the sprouting of buds in the cutting.
2. The root and shoot parameters were well recorded in the IBA treated cuttings.
3. The application of IBA was best recorded on the leaves characteristics of the grapes plant.
4. The application of IBA gave maximum growth and establishment of grapes plants in the field.

### 5. SUGGESTION FOR FURTHER WORK

Since the results of present investigation belong to one year of experiments for reaching to any definite conclusion and recommendation, it need further conduction of the same experiment for at least three successive years in different environments. Following studies are also suggested to be undertaken in future:

1. In order to confirm the validity of results the experiment must be repeated over years, location and different seasons with more accuracy.
2. The experiment should be tried on a large number of species and varieties of fruit crops propagated by cutting.
3. Non auxinic chemicals (like p-hydroxy benzoic acid-PHB, ferulic acid, etc.) combination with growth regulators should be tried.
4. This experiment should also be conducted to see the effect of environmental conditions.
5. Further investigations may be carried out to improve the *in-vitro* rooting in grapes.

### COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

### REFERENCES

1. Patil VN, Chauhan PS, Shivankar RS, Vilhekar SH, Waghmare VS. Effect of plant growth regulators on survival and

- vegetative growth of grape vine cuttings. Agric. Sci. Digest, 2001;21(2):97-99.
2. Burman A, Kanpure RN, Anjanawe SR, Haldar A, Singh RPT, Yadav SK. Effect of bio fertilizer and growth regulators on rooting and growth of hardwood cutting of grapevine (*Vitis vinifera* L.) cv. Thompson seedless. Research in Environment and Life Sciences. 2016;9(12):1522-1525.
  3. Singh D, Pathak S. Effect of IBA and NAA on propagation of Barbados cherry through layering. Crop Research. 2012;43(1to3): 120-122.
  4. Singh IP, Sheo G. Effect of urea, GA and ZnSO<sub>4</sub> spray on the growth of citrus seedlings in two species. Annals of Plant Physiology. 2000;14(1):39-42.
  5. Kareem A, Manan A, Saeed S, Rehman S, Shahzad U. and Nafees M. Effect of different concentrations of IBA on rooting of guava *Psidium guajava* L. in low tunnel under shady situation. Journal of Agriculture and Environment for Internal Development. 2016;110(2):197-203.
  6. Meena VS, Nambi VE, Vishwakarma RK, Gupta RK, Nangare DD. Effect of gibberellic acid on fruit quality and storability of grapes in semi-arid region of Punjab. Agricultural Science Digest. 2012;32(4).
  7. Tanwar DR, Bairwa HL, Lakhwat SS, Mahawer LN, Jat RK, Choudhary RC. Effect of IBA and rooting media on hardwood cuttings of Pomegranate (*Punica granatum* L.) cv. Bhagwa. International Journal of Environment and Climate Change. 2020;10(12):609-617.
  8. Tomar KS. Effect of different concentrations of growth regulators on rooting and survival percentage of pomegranate air layers. Progressive Agriculture. 2011;11(2):431-433.
  9. Vinod R, Rajput BS, Sudhir D, Trivedi HK, Jain R. Effect of foliar Sprays of Growth Regulators and Micronutrients on Incidence of Mango Malformation; 2013.
  10. Zoffoli JP, Latorre BA, Naranjo P. Preharvest applications of growth regulators and their effect on postharvest quality of table grapes during cold storage. Postharvest Biology and Technology. 2009;51(2):183-192.
  11. Rajmanickam C, Balamohan TN. Effect of IBA on rooting of pomegranate (*Punica granatum*) stem cuttings cv. Bhagwa. International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2019;7(5):218-220.
  12. Rawat TS, Singh J, Verma P. Response of grapes cuttings (*Vitis vinifera* L.) to plant growth regulators. Sci. Horti. For. 2004;9:61-65.
  13. Reddy KV, Reddy PC and Goud PV. Effect of auxins on the rooting of fig (*Ficus carica* L.) hardwood and semi hardwood cuttings. Indian Journal of Agricultural Research. 2008;42(1):75-78.
  14. Shahzad et al. Effects of Auxin (IBA) concentrations with different dipping time on root ability of grape cuttings (*Vitis Vinifera*)- The International Journal of Science & Technoledge; 2019.
  15. Dimovska V, Ivanova V, Salamovska A, Ilieva F. Flame Seedless grapes variety (*Vitis vinifera* L.) and different concentration of gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>). Bulgarian Journal of Agricultural Science. 2014;20(1):137-142.
  16. Gill SS, Singh SN. Effect of gibberellic acid application on fruit quality of Thompson Seedless' grapes. Punjab Horticultural Journal. 1987;27:37-41.
  17. Upadhyay SK, Badyal J. Effect of growth regulators on rooting of pomegranate (*Punica granatum* L.) cutting. Haryana Journal of Horticultural Sciences. 2007;36(1/2):58-9.
  18. Stancato GC, Aguiar FF, Kanashiro S, Tavares AR, Catharino EL, Silveira RB. *Rhipsalis grandiflora* Haw.(Cactaceae) propagation by setem cuttings. Scientia Agricola. 2003;60:651-6.
  19. Singh M, Jha A, Kumar A, Hettiarachchy N, Rai AK, Sharma D. Influence of the solvents on the extraction of major phenolic compounds (punicalagin, ellagic acid and gallic acid) and their antioxidant activities in pomegranate aril. Journal of Food Science and Technology. 2014 Sep;51:2070-7.
  20. Kaur, Sukhjit. Evaluation of different doses of IBA on the rooting, survival and vegetative growth performance of hardwood cuttings of Flordaguard peach (*Prunus persica* L. Batsch). Journal of Applied and Natural Science. 2018;9(1): 173-180.
  21. Ali, Yasir. Rooting and survival percentage in guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) cuttings and its response to different IBA concentrations. International Journal of Advanced Research and Review. 2018;3 (1):55-58.

22. Rolaniya MK, Sarvanan S. Effect of plant growth regulators (IAA, IBA, GA3) on rooting of hardwood cutting of grape (*Vitis venifera* L.) cv. Thompson Seedless. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2018;7(1S): 398-400.
23. Kumar S, Prakash S, Prasad Y, Kumar A, Chand P, Dhyani BP. Effect of IBA and boric acid treatments on rooting and growth of stem cutting in pomegranate (*Punica granatum* L.). Journal of Plant Development Science. 2020;7(7):587-591.
24. Jadhav SB, Dhutraj DN, Bastewad TB. Effect of growth regulators and urea sprays on growth of Rangpur lime seedling. Journal-Maharashtra Agricultural Universities. 2006;31(1):82.
25. Narula S, Kau G. Influence of Growth Regulators on the Regeneration and Survival of Plum cv. Kala Amritsari through Stem Cuttings; 2018.

© 2023 Puri et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Peer-review history:*

*The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:*

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/99403>