Genetic Variability, Correlation and Path Analysis in Mung Bean Genotypes (*Vigna radiata* L. Wilczek): An Experimental Investigation

**Sindoora Nalajala [a*#], N. Brajendra Singh [a†], M. Samuel Jeberson [a‡],
E. V. D. Sastry [a†], Sonika Yumnam [a¥], Bireshwar Sinha [a ⊢] and Okendro Singh [a†]**

[a] *Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, College of Agriculture, Central Agricultural University, Imphal, Manipur- 795004, India.*

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Original Research Article

ABSTRACT

Mung bean (*Vigna radiata* L. Wilczek) is one of the most important pulse crops due to the high content of protein as well as the ability to nitrogen fixation in soil. Seed yield is the most complex trait which highly influenced by other traits and also the environment. In this view, the study was aimed to evaluate the relationship between yield and yield attributing traits of sixty genotypes of Mung bean. The genotypes exhibited significant variability in respect to all the fourteen quantitative characters studied. Genetic variability studies showed presence of good amount of variation among the sixty genotypes. Highest PCV and GCV was recorded by seed yield followed by number of seeds per plant and primary branch length. The characters, seed yield per plant, number of seeds per plant, primary branch length, biological yield, harvest index, 100 seed weight, number of pods per plant, number of clusters per plant, plant height and number of primary branches had shown high heritability coupled with high genetic advance as percent mean. Seed yield per plant had shown highly significant and positive correlation with number of clusters per

_____

[#] *Research Scholar[1],*
[†] *Professor[2],*
[‡] *Associate Professor[3],*
[¥] *Scientist[5],*
[⊢] *Assistant Professor[6],*
Corresponding author: E-mail: nalajalasindoora1@gmail.com;

plant, number of pods per plant, pod length, number of seeds per pod, number of seeds per plant, biological yield and harvest index at both phenotypic and genotypic levels. Path analysis showed that biological yield and harvest index were the most important characters for yield improvement.

Keywords: Mung bean; genetic variability; heritability; correlation; path analysis.

1. INTRODUCTION

The mung bean (*Vigna radiata* L. Wilczek) is a short-lived, significant legume crop that is grown in Asia and America's tropical and subtropical regions. The Indian subcontinent relies on it as the third-most significant pulse crop. Mung bean plant roots have the ability to fix atmospheric nitrogen with a symbiotic relationship with nitrogen-fixing rhizobia. For any yield improvement programme selection of superior parents is a pre requisite. The yield is connected to different characters that are interconnected to one another and are complex characters. The knowledge of genetic variability in the available germplasm is extremely important and vital for the development of new, higher producing varieties. Knowing the associations between different characters and path coefficients is crucial for accumulating the best possible contribution from characters that contribute to yield. The primary goals of the current study were to investigate the kind, extent, and associations of genetic diversity among mung bean features.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was carried out on 60 genotypes of mung bean, at Genetics and Plant Breeding (GPB) Farm, College of Agriculture, Central Agricultural University, Imphal, Manipur during Kharif 2021, following Randomized Block Design with three replications. Each row was 4 m × 30 cm size with a spacing of 30 cm x 10 cm between and within rows. The research farm is situated geographically at 24.811°N and 93.891°E, at an elevation of 781.8 metres above mean sea level. The crop duration was from July to October with average maximum temperature of 28.82°C, average minimum temperature of 19.5°C and average monthly rainfall of 4.4mm during the crop growth period. All the other recommended package of practices were followed during the study for a good crop growth and the genotypes are harvested as and when pods matured. Ten plants were selected at random from each genotype in each replication for recording data.

The different characters which were studied *viz*., days to 50 % flowering, days to maturity, plant height (cm), number of primary branches, primary branch length (cm), number of clusters per plant, number of pods per plant, Pod length (cm), number of seeds per pod, number of seeds per plant, 100 seed weight (g), biological yield (g), harvest index (%) and seed yield per plant (g). Genotypic coefficients of variation (GCV) and Phenotypic coefficients of variation (PCV) were computed according to Burton and De Vane [1]. The percentage of heritability (H) was estimated as per the formula given by Allard [2]. The expected genetic advance (GA) as percentage of mean was computed according to the formula suggested by Johnson et al. [3]. The genotypic and phenotypic correlations were computed according to formula suggested by Falconer [4]. While path analysis was done by as suggested by Dewey and Lu [5].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was carried out for all the 14 characters under study. The analysis of variance revealed that there was a significant difference among all the genotypes, indicating presence of genetic variability at 0.01 level of significance (Table 1). The estimated variance components, broad-sense heritability and genetic advance of all the characters studied were shown in the Table 2.

3.1 PCV and GCV

The maximum PCV (48.45) and GCV (48.41) were recorded for the trait seed yield per plant followed by number of seeds per plant with PCV (47.89) and GCV (47.84); primary branch length PCV (46.33) and GCV (45.41). While the minimum PCV (4.54) and GCV (4.49) values were shown by days to maturity. In the present investigation, the phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) was higher than the genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) for all the 14 traits under study. This indicates the effect of environment on the expression of traits. Similar results were given by Raturi et al. [6], Jeberson et al. [7] and Sabatina et al. [8] in mung bean. The characters days to 50 % flowering, days to

maturity and number of seeds per pod showed low magnitude of GCV and PCV, while characters viz., number of primary branches, number of clusters per plant and pod length had shown moderate GCV and PCV values. Similar results were reported by Tabasum et al. [9], Raturi et al. [6], Anand et al. [10], Garg et al. [11] and Sabatina et al. [8] in mung bean.

3.2 Heritability and Genetic Advance

In the present investigation highest heritability was shown by all the characters in the present study viz., days to 50 % flowering, days to maturity, plant height, number of primary branches, primary branch length, number of clusters per plant, number of pods per plant, pod length, number of seeds per pod, number of seeds per plant, 100 seed weight, biological yield, harvest index and seed yield per plant (Table 2). Similar results for seed yield and number of pods per plant were shown by Singh et al. [12] in mung bean. The characters seed yield per plant, number of seeds per plant, primary branch length, biological yield, harvest index, 100 seed weight, number of pods per plant, number of clusters per plant, plant height and number of primary branches had shown high heritability coupled with high genetic advance as percent mean. The direct selection of these characters may improve yield. Similar results were produced by Mishra et al. [13], Vadivel et al. [14] Sineka et al. [15] and Salman et al. [16] in mung bean. High heritability with moderate genetic advance as percent mean was shown by characters days to 50 % flowering, pod length and number of seeds per pod. Whereas, days to maturity exhibited high heritability coupled with low genetic advance as percent mean.

3.3 Correlation Studies

Association analysis provides the estimates of degree of association among the various components of yield which help in direct selection of associated characters for the improvement of desirable traits like yield. In the present study, an attempt was made to estimate the genotypic and phenotypic correlations in all possible pairs of characters combinations for 60 genotypes of mung bean. As phenotypic correlation coefficients have the masking influence of environment on the expression of trait, the genotypic correlation coefficients are considered to have high estimates.

The estimated values of correlation coefficients between different pairs of characters under investigation were shown in Table 3. Seed yield per plant had shown highly significant and positive correlation with number of clusters per plant, number of pods per plant (similar findings with Srivastava and Singh [17] and Sandhiya and Shanmugavel [18], pod length, number of seeds per pod, number of seeds per plant, biological yield and harvest index at both phenotypic and genotypic levels. The results are in concurrence with Prasanna et al. [19], Hemavathy et al. [20], Kaur et al. [21] and Gupta et al. [22] in mung bean. In the present investigation, the genotypic correlations are in agreement with the phenotypic correlations, though the magnitude of the values were higher in the former case for all the traits under study. To a plant breeder a positive correlation between desirable traits is advantageous because it helps in simultaneous improvement in the characters. In this study seed yield was not significantly associated with days to 50% flowering and primary branch length.

3.4 Path Analysis

The efficiency of selection in any breeding programme mainly depends upon the knowledge of association of characters. The coefficient of correlation simply identifies the relationship between any two variables; it does not reveal how much a change in one variable will affect a change in another, but the Path coefficient analysis is helpful in partitioning the correlation coefficient into direct and indirect effects so as to measure the relative importance of causal factors involved. In the present study, the path analysis showing the direct and indirect effects of the different traits were shown in Table 4 and pictorially in Fig. 1. Positive direct effect on seed yield per plant was exhibited by biological yield (0.5863) followed by harvest index (0.4267), number of seeds per plant (0.3058), 100 seed weight (0.0516), number of clusters per plant (0.0442), number of primary branches (0.0405), number of seeds per pod (0.0369) and days to 50% flowering (0.0147). Number of pods per plant, pod length, plant height and days to maturity were indirectly influencing the seed yield per plant through other characters. Based on the path analysis biological yield and harvest index were the most important characters for yield improvement. Harvest index had shown highest positive direct effect in case of Prasanna et al. [19], maximum direct effect of harvest index and biological yield was reported by Gadakh et al.

[23]. The results were in conformity with Makeen et al. [24] and Hemavathy et al. [20] for 100 seed weight and number of seeds per pod. Grag et al. (2017) for 100 seed weight, Asari et al. [25] for 100 seed weight, days to 50% flowering and number of seeds per pod, Tabasum et al. [9], Reddy et al. [26] Lal and Singh [27], Hemavathy et al. [20], Anand et al. [10], Garg et al. (2017), Asari et al. [25] and Sneha et al. [28] for plant height.

Table 1. Analysis of variance of different characters in Mung bean (*Vigna radiata* L. Wilczek)

S. No	Characters	Mean sum of squares		
		Replication	Genotypes	Error
1	Days to 50 % flowering	0.039	31.74**	0.146
2	Days to Maturity	0.57	25.98**	0.18
3	Plant height (cm)	5.42	203.79**	3.79
4	Number of primary branches	0.001	0.23**	0.01
5	Primary branch length (cm)	5.00	195.99**	2.62
6	Number of clusters per plant	0.04	0.4**	0.33
7	Number of pods per plant	0.027	1.80**	0.92
8	Pod length (cm)	0.55	1.83**	0.19
9	Number of seeds per pod	0.01	0.14**	0.21
10	Number of seeds per plant	25.77	14565.14**	11.16
11	100 seed weight (g)	0.027	1.21**	0.026
12	Biological yield (g)	0.54	49.37**	0.37
13	Harvest index (%)	5.79	494.16**	6.94
14	Seed yield per plant (g)	0.01	14.85**	0.01

*,** Significance at 5% and 1% respectively*

Fig. 1. Direct and indirect effects of 13 characters on the seed yield per plant

Table 2. Estimate of Mean, range, GCV, PCV, heritability (broad sense) and genetic advance as percentage of mean

S. No	Character	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Coefficient of variation		Heritability (%) broad sense	Genetic advance	Genetic advance as percentage of Mean
					GCV	PCV			
1	DF	35.21	32.00	45.66	9.21	9.28	98.63	6.64	18.85
2	DM	65.37	61.66	78.00	4.49	4.54	97.86	5.97	9.14
3	PH	34.94	22.3	52.76	23.37	24.02	94.62	16.35	46.83
4	PB	1.87	1.00	3.43	18.2	18.4	98.00	0.6	37.00
5	PBL	17.79	6.46	42.33	45.41	46.33	96.09	16.21	91.71
6	NC	4.58	2.06	8.13	16.5	17.3	88.88	0.69	31.37
7	NPP	11.68	5.16	25.66	22.64	22.97	97.05	1.56	45.93
8	PLN	7.33	5.54	9.99	10.06	11.73	73.44	1.30	17.75
9	SPP	10.99	6.4	13.73	6.24	6.54	91.03	0.418	12.26
10	SPPL	145.61	43.53	285.46	47.84	47.89	99.77	143.3	98.42
11	TW	3.14	2.01	5.32	20.81	20.85	99.64	1.34	42.79
12	BY	9.95	2.86	21.26	40.67	41.34	96.77	8.19	82.40
13	HI	47.98	31.09	68.89	35.13	35.65	97.09	34.17	71.23
14	SY	4.59	0.93	10.26	48.41	48.45	99.81	4.57	99.63

DF-Days to 50% flowering, DM- days to maturity, PH-plant height, PB-number of primary branches, PBL-primary branch length, NC-number of clusters per plant, NPP-number of pods per plant, PLN-Pod length, SPP-number of seeds per pod, SPPL-number of seeds per plant, TW-100 seed weight, BY-biological yield, HI-harvest index and SY-seed yield per plant

Table 3. Phenotypic correlation coefficient (upper diagonal) and genotypic correlation coefficient (lower diagonal) among yield and its attributing traits in 60 genotypes of mung bean

Character	DF	DM	PH	PB	PBL	NC	NPP	PLN	SPP	SPPL	TW	BY	HI	SY
DF	1	0.887**	0.312*	0.070	0.316*	0.355**	0.124	-0.040	-0.140	0.180	-0.080	0.225	-0.072	0.152
DM	0.902**	1	0.211	0.154	0.256*	0.152	-0.090	-0.100	-0.24	-0.034	-0.097	0.024	-0.117	-0.050
PH	0.324*	0.219*	1	-0.053	0.519**	0.535**	0.4967**	0.279*	0.124	0.380**	0.024	0.442**	-0.099	0.306*
PB	0.072	0.158	-0.058	1	0.043	-0.175	-0.151	-0.221*	-0.328*	-0.216*	-0.084	-0.180	0.070	-0.112
PBL	0.323*	0.267*	0.556**	0.047	1	0.337**	0.272*	-0.019	-0.253	0.087	0.073	0.068	-0.047	0.036
NC	0.374**	0.166	0.580**	-0.191	0.368**	1	0.748**	0.335**	0.277*	0.598**	0.111	0.648**	-0.055	0.534**
NPP	0.128	-0.089	0.516**	-0.155	0.284*	0.804**	1	0.340**	0.256*	0.742**	0.138	0.749**	0.069	0.678**
PLN	-0.046	-0.110	0.303*	-0.252	-0.008	0.412**	0.405**	1	0.411**	0.275*	0.496**	0.367**	0.125	0.361**
SPP	-0.155	-0.261*	0.144	-0.346**	-0.280*	0.306*	0.283*	0.512**	1	0.350**	0.167	0.463**	-0.096	0.350**
SPPL	0.181	-0.034	0.393**	-0.219	0.089	0.635**	0.752**	0.323*	0.367**	1	0.127	0.814**	0.321*	0.912**
TW	-0.080	-0.095	0.026	-0.091	0.077	0.115	0.150	0.630**	0.191	0.133	1	0.230	0.215	0.305*
BY	0.229*	0.026	0.460**	-0.183	0.078	0.692**	0.766**	0.437**	0.496**	0.822**	0.236	1	-0.065	0.804**
HI	-0.076	-0.026	-0.103	0.074	-0.057	-0.05	0.074	0.417**	-0.116	0.328*	0.237	-0.041	1	0.498**
SY	0.153	-0.050	0.316*	-0.113	0.038	0.566**	0.687**	0.423**	0.367**	0.913**	0.318*	0.812**	0.508**	1

DF-Days to 50% flowering, DM- days to maturity, PH-plant height, PB-number of primary branches, PBL-primary branch length, NC-number of clusters per plant, NPP-number of pods per plant, PLN-Pod length, SPP-number of seeds per pod, SPPL-number of seeds per plant, TW-100 seed weight, BY-biological yield, HI-harvest index and SY-seed yield per plant

Table 4. Direct (diagonal) and indirect effects of yield component traits on seed yield in mung bean genotypes

Variables	DF	DM	PH	PB	PBL	NC	NPP	PLN	SPP	SPPL	TW	BY	HI
DF	**0.0147**	0.0133	0.0048	0.0011	0.0047	0.0055	0.0019	-0.0007	-0.0023	0.0027	-0.0012	0.0034	-0.0011
DM	-0.0155	**-0.0171**	-0.0038	-0.0027	-0.0046	-0.0028	0.0015	0.0019	0.0045	0.0006	0.0016	-0.0005	0.0021
PH	-0.0111	-0.0075	**-0.0343**	0.0020	-0.0191	-0.0199	-0.0177	-0.0104	-0.0049	-0.0135	-0.0009	-0.0158	0.0035
PB	0.0030	0.0064	-0.0024	**0.0405**	0.0019	-0.0078	-0.0063	-0.0102	-0.0140	-0.0089	-0.0037	-0.0074	0.0030
PBL	0.0035	0.0029	0.0060	0.0005	**0.0109**	0.0040	0.0031	-0.0001	-0.0030	0.0010	0.0008	0.0009	-0.0006
NC	0.0166	0.0073	0.0257	-0.0085	0.0163	**0.0442**	0.0356	0.0182	0.0136	0.0281	0.0051	0.0306	-0.0025
NPP	-0.0064	0.0045	-0.0258	0.0078	-0.0142	-0.0401	**-0.0499**	-0.0202	-0.0141	-0.0376	-0.0075	-0.0382	-0.0037
PLN	0.0011	0.0027	-0.0074	0.0061	0.0002	-0.0100	-0.0098	**-0.0242**	-0.0124	-0.0078	-0.0153	-0.0106	-0.0036
SPP	-0.0057	-0.0096	0.0053	-0.0128	-0.0104	0.0113	0.0105	0.0189	**0.0369**	0.0136	0.0071	0.0183	-0.0043
SPPL	0.0554	-0.0106	0.1203	-0.0671	0.0274	0.1943	0.2302	0.0989	0.1123	**0.3058**	0.0410	0.2516	0.1005
TW	-0.0042	-0.0049	0.0014	-0.0047	0.0040	0.0060	0.0078	0.0326	0.0099	0.0069	**0.0516**	0.0122	0.0122
BY	0.1348	0.0155	0.2702	-0.1074	0.0459	0.4058	0.4492	0.2564	0.2911	0.4823	0.1383	**0.5863**	-0.0244
HI	-0.0326	-0.0530	-0.0439	0.0316	-0.0247	-0.0238	0.0316	0.0628	-0.0496	0.1403	0.1010	-0.0177	**0.4267**

DF-Days to 50% flowering, DM- days to maturity, PH-plant height, PB-number of primary branches, PBL-primary branch length, NC-number of clusters per plant, NPP-number of pods per plant, PLN-Pod length, SPP-number of seeds per pod, SPPL-number of seeds per plant, TW-100 seed weight, BY-biological yield, HI-harvest index and SY-seed yield per plant

4. CONCLUSION

In this study, all the characters viz., days to 50 % flowering, days to maturity, plant height, number of primary branches, primary branch length, number of clusters per plant, number of Pods per plant, Pod length, number of seeds per pod, number of seeds per plant, 100 seed weight, biological yield, harvest index and seed yield per plant showed high heritability indicating low environmental effects. The characters seed yield per plant, number of seeds per plant, primary branch length, biological yield, harvest index, 100 seed weight, number of pods per plant, number of clusters per plant, plant height and number of primary branches had shown high heritability coupled with high genetic advance as percent mean indicating the substantial contribution of additive gene action. The direct selection of these characters may improve yield. High heritability with moderate genetic advance as percent mean was shown by characters days to 50 % flowering, pod length and number of seeds per pod which specified equal influence of additive and non-additive gene action in the expression of these characters. Days to maturity exhibited high heritability coupled with low genetic advance as percent mean which indicate the influence of non-additive gene action in the character expression. The seed yield per plant was significantly correlated with all the characters except days to 50 % flowering, days to maturity, number of primary branches and primary branch length. Based on the path analysis biological yield and harvest index were the most important characters for yield improvement. In-direct selection on number of pods per plant, pod length, plant height and days to maturity had to be practiced for improvement in the yield.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Burton GW, De Vane EM. Estimation of heritability in tall fescue. Agron. J. 1953; 45(10):478-481.
2. Allard RW. Principle of plant breeding. John Willy and Sons. Inc. New York (USA); 1960.
3. Johnson HW, Robinson HF, Comstock RE. Estimates of genetic and environmental variability in soybean. Agron. J. 1955;47:314-318.
4. Falconer DS. Introduction to quantitative genetics. 4th edn. Longman. New York; 1967.
5. Dewey DR, Lu K. A Correlation and Path-coefficient analysis of components of crested wheatgrass seed production 1. Agron. J. 1959;51(9):515-518.
6. Raturi A, Singh SK, Sharma V, Pathak R. Genetic variability, heritability, genetic advance and path analysis in mungbean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek]. Population. 2015;105:X100.
7. Jeberson MS, Shashidhar KS, Wani SH, Singh AK. Multivariate analysis in Mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L. Wilczek) for genetic diversity under acidic soils of Manipur, India. Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci. 2017;6(7):760-769.
8. Sabatina AS, Lal Ahamed M, Ramana JV, Harisatyanarayana N. Genetic variability studies in mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L.). J Pharm Innov. 2021;10(6):906-909.
9. Tabasum A, Saleem M, Aziz I. Genetic variability, trait association and path analysis of yield and yield components in mungbean (*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek). Pak. J. Bot. 2010;42(6):3915- 3924.
10. Anand G, Anandhi K, Paulpandi VK. Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis for yield and yield components in F6 families of Greengram (*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek) under rainfed condition. Electron. J. Pl. Breed. 2016;7(2):434-437.
11. Garg GK, Verma PK, Kesh H. Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis in mungbean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek]. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2017;6(11):2166-2173.
12. Singh G, Srivastav RL, Prasad BK, Kumar R. Genetic variability and character association in mungbean (*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek). South Asian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2022;2(1):04-07.
13. Mishra LD, Baisakh B, Nayak PK. Genetic variability among advanced mutant lines of

mungbean. Journal of Food Legumes. 2008;21:274-275.

14. Vadivel K, Mahalingam A, Manivannan N. Genetic analysis on the extent of variability among the greengram (*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek) genotypes. Elec. J. Pl. Breed. 2020;11(02):686-689.

15. Sineka T, Murugan E, Sheeba A, Hemalatha G, Vanniarajan C. Genetic relatedness and variability studies in greengram (*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek). Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding. 2021;12(4):1157-1162.

16. Salman MAS, Anuradha C, Sridhar V, Babu ER, Pushpavalli S. Genetic variability for yield and its related traits in green gram [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek]. Legume Res. 2021;1 (5):1-5.

17. Srivastava RL, Singh G. Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis in mungbean (*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek). Indian Journal of Life Sciences. 2012;2(1):55-60.

18. Sandhiya V, Shanmugavel S. Genetic variability and correlation studies in greengram (*Vigna radiata* L. Wilczek). Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding. 2018;9(3):1094-1099.

19. Prasanna BL, Rao PJM, Murthy KGK, Prakash KK. Genetic variability, correlation and path coefficient analysis in mungbean. Environment and Ecology. 2013;31(4): 1782-1788.

20. Hemavathy AT, Shunmugavalli N, Anand G. Genetic variability, correlation and path co-efficient studies on yield and its components in mungbean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilezek]. Leg. Res. Int. J. 2015; 38(4):442-446.

21. Kaur G, Joshi A, Jain D. SSR-Marker assisted evaluation of Genetic diversity in mungbean (*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilcezk)

genotypes. Brazilian Archives of Biology and Technology. 2018;61.

22. Gupta D, Muralia S, Gupta NK, Gupta S, Jakhar ML, Sandhu JS. Genetic diversity and principal component analysis in mungbean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek] under Rainfed Condition. Leg. Res. Int. J. 2021;1:8.

23. Gadakh SS, Dethe AM, Kathale MN. Genetic variability, correlations and path analysis studies on yield and its components in mungbean (*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek). BIOINFOLET-A Quarterly Journal of Life Sciences. 2013;10(2a):441-447.

24. Makeen K, Abrahim G, Jan A, Singh AK. Genetic variability and correlations studies on yield and its components in mungbean (*Vigna radiata* (L.) wilczek). Agron. J. 2007;6(1):216-218.

25. Asari T, Patel BN, Patel R, Patil GB, Solanki C. Genetic variability, correlation and path coefficient analysis of yield and yield contributing characters in mung bean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek]. Int. J. Chem. Stu. 2019;7(4):383-387.

26. Reddy DKR, Venkateswarlu O, Obaiah MC, Jyothi GLS. Studies on genetic variability, character association and path co-efficient analysis in greengram [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek]. Leg. Res. Int. J. 2011;34(3):202-206.

27. Lal M, Singh D. Utilization of genetic diversity and its association characters in mungbean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek]. Leg. Res. Int. J. 2014;37(6):679-681.

28. Sneha M, Saravanan S, Premkumari S, Pillai MA. An appraisal of genetic divergence in some indigenous collections of mungbean (*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wileczek). Elec. J. Pl. Breed. 2020; 11(2):620-625.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/91184