

International Journal of Environment and Climate Change

Volume 13, Issue 11, Page 2628-2632, 2023; Article no.IJECC.108272 ISSN: 2581-8627 (Past name: British Journal of Environment & Climate Change, Past ISSN: 2231–4784)

# Effect of Liquid Organic Manures on the Performance of Sweet Corn (Zea mays L. saccharata)

# M. Sushmitha <sup>a++\*</sup>, B. Rajendra Kumar <sup>a#</sup>, P. Amara Jyothi <sup>b†</sup> and P. Gurumurthy <sup>c‡</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Agronomy, Agricultural College, Naira, Andhra Pradesh, India. <sup>b</sup> Department of Agronomy, ARS, Ragolu, Andhra Pradesh, India. <sup>c</sup> Department of Soil Science, Agricultural College, Naira, Andhra Pradesh, India.

# Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2023/v13i113431

#### **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/108272

> Received: 03/09/2023 Accepted: 08/11/2023 Published: 10/11/2023

**Original Research Article** 

# ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted during *rabi* 2022 at Block D of the College Farm, Agriculture College, Naira. The experiment was laid out in Split-plot design with three main plots.M<sub>1</sub>:100% RDF (180-60-60 NPK Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) + FYM @10 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, M<sub>2</sub>:75% RDF (135-45-45 NPK Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) + FYM @10 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. M<sub>3</sub>:0% RDF- Control and four sub-plotsS1: Liquid azospirllum+PSB+KRB+ZnSB+@1.25 L ha-1 each at knee high stage, S2: Vermiwash spraying twice @5% at knee high and tasseling to silking stages, S3: Panchagavya spraying twice @3% at knee high and tasseling to silking stages andS4: Drava Jeevamrutham spraying twice @10% at knee high and tasseling to silking stages Results revealed that 100% RDF (180-60-60 NPK Kg ha-1) + FYM @10 t ha-1 (M<sub>1</sub>) recorded

<sup>†</sup>Senior Scientist;

Int. J. Environ. Clim. Change, vol. 13, no. 11, pp. 2628-2632, 2023

<sup>++</sup> M.Sc. (Ag) Scholar;

<sup>#</sup>Assistant Professor;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> Professor;

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: sushmithamallela9@gmail.com;

maximum fresh cob yield (16409 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and stover yield (17481 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Among the subplots: Liquid azospirllum+ PSB+ KRB+ ZnSB+@1.25 L ha-1 (S<sub>1</sub>) recorded maximum fresh cob yield (14091 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and stover yield (15623 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The interaction effect of different doses of RDF and liquid biofertilizers on fresh cob yield, stover yield and HI was found to be nonsignificant. Hence, it can be concluded that different doses of RDF and liquid biofertilizers are advantageous for weet corn cultivation on sandy loam soils of North costal region.

Keywords: Biofertilizers; FYM; panchagavya; fresh cob yield and harvest index.

# **1. INTRODUCTION**

Sweet corn (Zea mays L. saccharata) is an important type of specialty corn cultivated across the globe as well in India. It is hybridized maize specially bred to increase sugar content and also known as "Sugar corn". Nevertheless, it is mainly grown for its exceptional quality such as 14-20 % of sugar, 10-11% of starch, 3% of water-soluble polysaccharides and 70 % of water besides a good number of vitamins and minerals [1]. The nutritional values of sweet corn, makes it a better component in culinary purposes and the human diet [2]. It also has health benefits as it contains carotenoids such as xanthophyllous. lutein and zeaxanthin which improve the health of the eyes [3]. Additionally, it includes healthy concentrations of various essential B-complex vitamins, including thiamine, niacin, pantothenic acid, folates, riboflavin, and pyridoxine [4].

Sweet corn is the best alternative crop of maize for Indian farmers. Due to its increased commercial worth in the worldwide market, farmers prefer to cultivate. It is an intensive crop; hence more fertilizers are needed for beneficial crop yield. Hence, there is a need for better nutrient management.

Imbalance application of chemical fertilizers showed significant negative influence on soil pH, acidification/ salinization, and growth of beneficial micro-organisms which resulted in poor crop growth and yield. It is necessary to develop improved nutrient management practices in order to improve crop growth with incurring less harm to the environment [5,6]. Sweet corn being an exhaustive crop it's very difficult to supply entire nutrients organically to produce a profitable crop hence, combination of inorganic fertilizers and organic sources of nutrients might be helpful.

Application of inorganic fertilizers along with farm yard manure (FYM) increased the nutrient availability to crops which resulted in higher values of all growth parameters, yield attributes and yield of sweet corn [7]. FYM acts as a reservoir for chemical fertilizers and soil moisture which aids in increasing the nutrient use efficiency of crops.

Usage of the liquid organic manures and biofertilizers got a lot of attention among scientists and farmers in recent years as they proved effective in increasing the absorption and translocation of nutrients by the crops. Liquid organic manures are rich in macronutrients, micronutrients, growth regulators and other beneficial substances which directly influence the growth and yield of the crops and also play a major role in sustaining soil fertility. The application of liquid organic fertilizers along with recommended fertilizers increases the ear size of sweet corn [8]. However, chlorophyll content and root length were significantly improved by the application of nutrition in a combination *i.e.*, (Inorganic fertilizers). 125% RDF FYM (organic)@ 5t ha-1, PSB (liquid biofertilizer) and Azospirillum liquid biofertilizer at the departmental farm of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, College of Agriculture, Latur [9]. Whereas, Darwin et al. [10] concluded that the performance of sweet corn was considerably increased with the combined application of organic manures with biomax (Azatobacter sps. Azospirillum and PSB) which resulted in higher sweet corn yield, better postharvest quality and improved soil health. Ramesh et al. [11] evidenced that the higher growth and yield, plant height, Leaf Area Index (LAI), cob length, cob diameter and number of grains per cob were recorded with the application of liquid organic manure *i.e.*, Jeevamrutha @ 5%. Application of liquid organic manures like Jeevamrutha, Panchagavya and Sanjeevak improved the quality parameters of sweet corn at experimental farm, Faculty of Agriculture, Annamalai University [12].

Azospirillum by adhering to the root surface or the intercellular spaces of the host plant roots, colonize and stimulate plant growth by a variety of functions, including N-fixation and the synthesis of phytohormones such auxins, gibberellins, cytokinins, and nitric oxide that act as signals to stimulate plant growth. PSB solubilizes the fixed form of phosphorous and increased the availability and uptake of phosphorous which resulted in maximum sweet corn yield [13]. Application of Panchagavya acts as a growth promoter, yield enhancer, fertility booster and disease preventer for both plants animals therefore, induces drought and hardiness in sweet corn as well as in other crops [14]. The study therefore conducted to study the effect of liquid organic manures on the performance of sweet corn.

#### 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field experiment was conducted at the dryland block of Agriculture College Farm, Naira of Acharya N.G. Ranga Agricultural University, Andhra Pradesh, which is geographically situated at 18.24° N latitude, 83.84° E longitudes and at an altitude of 27 m above mean sea level in the North Coastal Zone of Andhra Pradesh. The experiment was laid out in field No.1 of the College Farm during season of 2022-2023. Green cobs harvested from the net plot was

weighed and expressed in kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and the yield obtained from sampling /tagged plants was also added to the net plot yield. The dry fodder yield of the net plot was recorded after sun drying of Stover, weighed, and expressed as kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. To check the effectiveness of the experiment, the harvest index was also calculated. Harvest index is the ratio of grain yield to the total biological yield (grain + straw) and expressed in percentage by using the formula of Donald and Humblin [15] as given below:

Harvest index (%) = Grain yield (kg ha-1) / Biological (Grain + Straw) yield (kg ha-1) \*100

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Upon reviewing the data presented in Table 1, it becomes evident that both the main and subplot treatments had a significant impact on the fresh cob yield and stover yield of sweetcorn. However, there was no notable effect observed from the interaction between the main and subplot treatments on the fresh cob yield.

Table 1. Fresh cob yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), Stover yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and Harvest index (%) of sweet corn as influenced by different doses of RDF and liquid organic manures

Treatments	Fresh cob yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Stover yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Harvest index (%)
Fertilizer levels (RDF-180-60-60 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )			
M1:100% RDF (180-60-60 NPK Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) + FYM @10 t ha <sup>-1</sup>	16409	17481	48.58
M <sub>2</sub> :75% RDF (135-45-45 NPK Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) + FYM @10 t ha <sup>-1</sup>	14291	14184	48.59
M3:0% RDF- Control	7946	11688	48.58
SEm±	278.24	293.23	0.20
CD (P=0.05)	1092	1151	NS
CV (%)	7.48	7.02	5.6
Four liquid organic manures			
S <sub>1</sub> : Liquid azospirllum+PSB+KRB+ZnSB+@1.25 L ha <sup>-1</sup> each at knee high stage	14091	15623	48.58
S <sub>2</sub> : Vermiwash spraying twice @5% at knee high and tasseling to silking stages	11789	13186	48.63
S <sub>3</sub> : <i>Panchagavya</i> spraying twice @3% at knee high and tasseling to silking stages	12968	14866	48.57
S <sub>4</sub> : Drava <i>Jeevamrutham</i> spraying twice @10% at knee high and tasseling to silking stages	12680	14129	48.56
SEm±	329.27	423.29	0.22
CD (P=0.05)	978.6	1258	NS
CV (%)	7.67	8.78	6.2
Interaction (M X S) and (S X M)			
CD (P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS

Among the main plots, the highest cob yield was observed in M1, with a value of 16,409 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, followed by M<sub>2</sub> with 14,291 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, and the lowest yield was recorded in M3 at 7,946 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Regarding the subplots, the highest yield was achieved in S<sub>1</sub>, with a value of 14,091 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, followed by S<sub>3</sub> (12,968 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), S<sub>4</sub> (12,680 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), and the lowest yield was recorded in S<sub>2</sub> at 11,789 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>.

Analyzing Table 1, it becomes apparent that both the main and subplot treatments had a significant influence on the stover yield. The highest cob yield among the main plots was observed in  $M_1$ , with a value of 17,481 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, followed by  $M_2$ with 14,184 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, and the lowest yield was recorded in M3 at 11,688 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Among the subplots, the highest yield was achieved in S<sub>1</sub>, with a value of 15,623 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, followed by S3 (14,688 kg ha-1), while S4 (14,129 kg ha-1) and S2 (13,186 kg ha-1) were comparable. There was no significant influence of the main and subplot treatments observed on the harvest index.

The highest grain and stover yield recorded in M1 among the main plots can be attributed to applying a greater amount of nutrients in the field, along with the use of FYM (Farm Yard Manure). This combination resulted in increased nutrient release by microbes and improved nutrient uptake, ultimately leading to higher yields. Similarly, in S1, the highest yield can be attributed to the presence of nutrient-solubilizing microbes, which facilitated easy solubilization of nutrients, enhanced nutrient uptake, and consequently increased yield.

# 4. CONCLUSION

Based on the above results and discussion it can be concluded that among the main plots (M<sub>1</sub>) 100% RDF (180-60-60 NPK Kg ha-1) + FYM @10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded significantly higher fresh cob yield (16,409 kg ha<sup>-1)</sup>, stover yield (17481 kg ha<sup>-</sup> 1), and harvest Index (48.8 %). Among Different liquid organic manure treatments, treatment with application of Liquid azospirllum+ the PSB+KRB+ZnSB+@1.25 L ha-1 each at knee high stage (S<sub>1</sub>) recorded significantly higher yield. The interaction effect of different doses of RDF and liquid biofertilizers on fresh cob yield, stover yield and HI was found to be nonsignificant. Hence, it can be concluded that different doses of RDF and liquid biofertilizers are advantageous for sweet corn cultivation on sandy loam soils of the North coastal region and

There is a need to continue this experiment with different doses of the same liquid organic manures to improve the interaction effect of RDF and liquid organic manures to formulate better INM practice.

# **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

# REFERENCES

- 1. Oktem, Oktem. Effect of nitrogen and intra spaces on sweet corn (*Zea mays* Sachharata. Sturt) ear characteristics. Indian Journal of Plant Sciences. 2005;4(4):361-363.
- Swapna G, Jadesha G, Mahadevu P. Sweet corn – A future healthy human nutrition food. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2020;9(7):3859-3865.
- Ozata E. Evaluation of fresh ear yield and quality performance in super sweet corn. Int. J. Life Sci. Biotechnol. 2019;2(2):80-94.
- Dilip K, Aditya NJ. Nutritional, medicinal and economical importance of corn: A mini review. Res. J. Pharmaceutical Sci. 2013;2(7):7-8.
- 5. Manoj KN, Uma V, Kiran SC. Significance of liquid organic manures in sustainable crop production. International Journal of Ecology and Environmental sciences. 2020;2:445-449.
- 6. Krasilnikov P, Taboada MA, Amanullah. Fertilizer use, soil health and agriculture sustainability. Agriculture. 2022;120:462.
- Prusty M, Swain D Alim, Ray M. Integrated nutrient management in sweet corn and its residual effect on green gram in midcentral table land zone of Odisha. The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2022;11(3):102-105.
- 8. Isais C, Pena D. Growth and yield performance of transplanted sweet corn applied with organic-based foliar fertilizer. Journal of positive school psychology. 2022;6(3):4387-4393.
- 9. Jadhav MB, Indulkar, BS, Deshmukh AV, Pawar VS. Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers on growth parameters of sweet corn. Journal of Pharmacognosy and phytochemistry. 2019;8(5):1505-1509.
- 10. Darwin PH, Hendarto K, Elhivago SR, Yulistiani A. Effect of organic fertilizer and

urea on growth, yield and quality of sweet corn and soil health. Asian Journal of Agriculture and Biology. 2018;6(3):335-344.

- Ramesh S, Sudhakar P, Elankavi S. Effect of organic foliar nutrition on growth and yield of maize (*Zea mays* L.). International Journal of Research and Analytical Reviews. 2018;7(5):64-66
- 12. Khuwaja S, Durani A, Durrani H, Ansari MA. Effect of solid and liquid organic manures on growth, yield and economics of sweet corn under south Gujarat condition. International Journal of Pure and Applied Bioscience. 2018;6(2):567-574.
- Bezboruah M, Dutta R. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth and yield of summer maize (*Zea mays*). International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2021;9(2): 677-680.
- Kumar P, Khan N, Singh PD, Singh Y, Yadav V. Panchagavya: Alow cost organic input in organic farming-a review. International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2018;6(6):575-577.
- Donald CM, Humblin J. The biological yield and harvest index of cereals as agronomic and plant breeding criteria. Advances in Agronomy. 1976;28:361-405.

© 2023 Sushmitha et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/108272