



Indications for Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy Conversions at the University Hospital Center Ibn Rochd: A Prospective Study Over 3 Years

Amal Hajri ^{a,b}, El Kinani Siham ^{a,b*}, El Hayal Anas ^{a,b},
Rachid Boufettal ^{a,b}, Driss Erguibi ^{a,b},
Saad Rifki El Jai ^{a,b} and Farid Chehab ^{a,b}

^a Department of General Surgery and Liver Transplantation, University Hospital Center Ibn Rochd, Casablanca, Morocco.

^b University of Hassan II, Casablanca, Morocco.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/111750>

Case Study

Received: 18/11/2023

Accepted: 25/01/2024

Published: 06/02/2024

ABSTRACT

Laparoscopic cholecystectomy is the gold standard in gallbladder surgery whatever the clinical context. Contraindications to laparoscopic cholecystectomy are exceptional, however, there is a significant proportion of patients in whom it cannot be performed successfully and conversion to open surgery will be necessary due to technical difficulties or intraoperative complications. Conversion should not be considered as a failure but rather as a security for the patient. Conversion is often related to surgeon, patient and possibly equipment factors. The aim of our study is to identify the indications and the rate of conversion to open surgery in 10 patients among

*Corresponding author: E-mail: siham.fmpc@gmail.com;

482 patients who underwent laparoscopic cholecystectomy in the general surgery department of the CHU Ibn Rochd of Casablanca over a period of 3 years from January 1/ 2014 to December 31/ 2016 and to compare them with the data in the literature.

Keywords: Laparoscopic cholecystectomy; gallbladder; surgery.

1. INTRODUCTION

Cholecystectomy is a procedure considered benign but its consequences can be severe in case of complications, which can lead to liver transplantation in extreme cases [1]. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy is the gold standard in gallbladder surgery whatever the clinical context, otherwise conversion to open surgery will be necessary due to technical difficulties or intraoperative complications. Conversion to laparotomy should not be considered as a technical failure but rather accepted as a deliberate decision to change the operative technique often protecting the patient from complications. The aim of our study is to identify the indications and the rate of conversion to open surgery in 10 patients among 482 patients who underwent laparoscopic cholecystectomy in the general surgery department of the CHU Ibn Rochd of Casablanca and to compare them with the data in the literature.

2. METHODOLOGY

Our work is a retrospective, descriptive study of 482 patients who underwent laparoscopic cholecystectomy of which 10 patients had a conversion to laparotomy, over a period of 3 years from January 1, 2014, to December 31, 2016, within the general surgery department of the Ibn Rochd University Hospital of Casablanca and whose objective was to analyze our experience in the conversion of laparoscopic cholecystectomies to conventional surgery and to compare the results with those of the literature. The inclusion criteria were patients of all ages, and all sexes, with simple or complicated vesicular lithiasis, who had undergone laparoscopic cholecystectomy. The exclusion criteria were patients with suspected gallbladder cancer and patients operated on by conventional means from the outset.

3. RESULTS

The average age of the patients was 54 years with extremes ranging from 38 to 75 years.

There was a clear female predominance with a sex ratio of 2.33% F/H. Hepatic colic was present in all the patients in our series, thus representing the most frequent reason for consultation, which was associated with cholestasis syndrome in only one patient. The physical examination showed a positive Murphy's sign in only one patient

Abdominal ultrasound was performed in all our patients showing a thin-walled lithiasis gallbladder in 80% of our patients, a vesicular sludge in 10% of cases and a lithiasis cholecystitis in 10% of cases. A biliary MRI was performed in 40% of the cases, following a disturbed hepatic assessment, showing a main biliary tract of normal calibre with a thin-walled multi-lithiasic gallbladder in 90% of the cases and a lithiasic gallbladder with a thickened wall of 5 mm in the remaining cases.

the laparoscopic approach was practised in all our patients, with per operative exploration Presence of :

- Adhesions with a vesicular plastron in 10%,
- Lithiasis cholecystitis in 10%,
- Dilatation of the principal biliary duct in 10%,
- pediculitis making difficult the dissection of the calot triangle in 10%.

Among the 482 patients who had undergone laparoscopic cholecystectomy, 472 were completed 97.93%, and 10 cases were converted to laparotomy, 2.07%. The time of conversion was before the dissection of the calot triangle in 90% of the converted cases and after the dissection of the calot triangle in 10%.

The approach to conversion was a Kocher laparotomy in all patients associated with systematic drainage by :

- the subhepatic drain of the Redon in 40% of cases.
- Delbet slide and Salem probe in subhepatic in 50% of cases.
- Kehr drains in the principal biliary duct in 10% of cases.

Preoperative cholangiography was performed in 10% of cases showing a free and dilated main bile duct.

Postoperative follow-up was simple in all patients with an average hospital stay of 3 days in 40% of cases, 4 days in 30% of cases and 5 days in 30% of cases.

4. DISCUSSION

"Laparoscopic cholecystectomy is the reference treatment for benign gallbladder disease and allows earlier oral intake, shortens the length of hospital stay, promotes a quicker return to normal activity, and improves aesthetics and reduces postoperative pain compared to open cholecystectomy. However, some cases still require conversion to laparotomy" [1]. "Laparoscopic cholecystectomy, the gold-standard approach for cholecystectomy, has surprisingly variable outcomes and conversion rates. Only recently has operative grading been reported to define disease severity and few have been validated. This multicentre, multinational study assessed an operative scoring system to assess its ability to predict the need for conversion from laparoscopic to open cholecystectomy" [2]. despite the growing experience with laparoscopic surgery, approximately 2 to 15% of patients have had a conversion to open surgery for various reasons [3] the conversion rate in our study is 2.07% of cases This figure compares favourably with the rates reported in the literature [4]. "Converted cases are associated with an increased number of infectious and other postoperative complications an increased risk of additional procedures and a higher rate of readmission within 30 days" [5] conversion from laparoscopic to open surgery results in longer postoperative stays and higher morbidity and mortality rates in this patient group [6] statistically significant potential risk factors for planned laparoscopic conversion include acute cholecystitis, choledocholithiasis, emergency surgery, diabetes, neurological diseases, hypertension, cardiac diseases, other factors such as chronic cholecystitis, peritoneal adhesions[7]. "the patient's condition after ERCP and the condition after pancreatitis were not statistically significant as potential conversion factors. In addition, other authors have also taken into account: the patient's Body Mass Index, the thickness of the gallbladder wall previous abdominal surgery, increased alkaline phosphatase activity and bilirubin levels, elevated white blood cell count,

elevated body temperature and an American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) score greater than 3" [8,9]. "Limited experience of a physician performing laparoscopic cholecystectomy is also considered a statistically significant conversion factor" [10] some studies have shown that the risk of conversion increases with age by 1.05 times per year and in males is 2.44 times higher than in females [11]. In spite of all these predictive factors of conversion, the decision to convert to laparotomy is individual and often subjective, taken by the surgeon during the operation [8]. The main indications for conversion in our series were inflammatory adhesions preventing precise delimitation of the anatomy of the cystic duct. The overall mortality remains low or even zero in certain registers, some national reports mention 2.1% of major complications and 5.9% of minor complications [3]. This morbidity varies in severity from a simple collection of the vesicular bed to a wound of the bile ducts of various degrees of complexity, the incidence of which is approximately 0.4%.

5. CONCLUSION

Laparoscopic cholecystectomy has become the procedure of choice for the management of symptomatic gallbladder. However, there is still a significant proportion of patients in whom laparoscopic cholecystectomy cannot be performed successfully and for whom conversion to open surgery is required that is related to surgeon-related factors, patient-related factors, and possibly equipment. Our work reported a conversion rate that compares favourably with the rates reported in the literature.

CONSENT

It is not applicable.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

As per international standards or university standards written ethical approval has been collected and preserved by the author(s).

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Rosen M, Brody F, Ponsky J. Predictive factors for conversion of laparoscopic

- cholecystectomy. Am J Surg. 2002; 184(3):254/8.
2. Sugrue M, Coccolini F, Bucholc M, Johnston A. Intra-operative gallbladder scoring predicts conversion of laparoscopic to open cholecystectomy: A WSES prospective collaborative study. World Journal of Emergency Surgery. 2019;14:18.
 3. Alponat A, Kum CK, Koh BC, Rajnakova A, Goh PM. Predictive factors for conversion of laparoscopic cholecystectomy. World Journal of Surgery. 1997;21(6):629/33.
 4. Bingener-Casey J, Richards ML, Strodel WE, Schwesinger WH, Sirinek KR. Reasons for conversion from laparoscopic to open cholecystectomy: A 10-year review. J Gastrointest Surg. Déc. 2002; 6(6):800/5.
 5. Warchałowski Ł, Łuszczki E, Bartosiewicz A, Dereń K, Warchałowska M, Oleksy Ł, et al. The analysis of risk factors in the conversion from laparoscopic to open cholecystectomy. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. Janv. 2020;17(20):7571.
 6. Wolf Andrea S, Nijse Bram A, Sokal Suzanne M, et al. Surgical outcomes of open cholecystectomy in the laparoscopic era. The American Journal of Surgery. 2009;197(6):781-784.
 7. Sippey M, Grzybowski M, Manwaring ML, Kasten KR, Chapman WH, Pofahl WE, et al. Acute cholecystitis: Risk factors for conversion to an open procedure. Journal of surgical research. 2015; 199(2):357/61.
 8. Kama NA, Doganay M, Dolapci M, Reis E, Atli M, Kologlu M. Risk factors resulting in conversion of laparoscopic cholecystectomy to open surgery. Surgical endoscopy. 2001;15(9):965/8.
 9. Goonawardena J, Gunnarsson R, De Costa A. Predicting conversion from laparoscopic to open cholecystectomy presented as a probability nomogram based on preoperative patient risk factors. The American Journal of Surgery. 2015;210(3):492/500.
 10. Ibrahim S, Hean TK, Ho LS, Ravintharan T, Chye TN, Chee CH. Risk factors for conversion to open surgery in patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy. World Journal of Surgery. 2006;30(9):1698-704.
 11. Al Masri S, Shaib Y, Edelbi M, Tamim H, Jamali F, Batley N, et al. Predicting conversion from laparoscopic to open cholecystectomy: A single institution retrospective study. World Journal of Surgery. 2018;42(8): 237/382.

© 2024 Hajri et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/111750>