



## Evaluation and Selection of Elite Cassava Varieties for Storage Root Yield in the Transition and Guinea Savannah Ecologies of Ghana

Joseph Adjebeng-Danquah <sup>a\*</sup>, Stephen Kwasi Asante <sup>a</sup>, Olivia Agbenyega <sup>b</sup>,  
Desmond Sunday Adogoba <sup>a</sup> and Joseph Yeboah <sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> CSIR-Savanna Agricultural Research Institute, Nyankpala, Ghana.

<sup>b</sup> Department of Agroforestry, College of Agricultural and Natural Resources, Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Ghana.

<sup>c</sup> Formerly of the Root and Tuber Improvement Programme, Ministry of Food and Agriculture, Cadbury Hall, Kumasi, Ghana.

### **Authors' contributions**

*This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.*

### **Article Information**

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2022/v34i2231411

### **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/90535>

**Original Research Article**

**Received 03 June 2022**  
**Accepted 08 August 2022**  
**Published 11 August 2022**

### **ABSTRACT**

This study was carried out to identify and select local and improved cassava varieties suitable for cultivation in the transition and Guinea savannah agroecology of Ghana against climate change. Two agroecologies; transition and Guinea savannah ecologies were considered for the study. Four cassava growing communities were chosen in the transition zone whilst three cassava growing communities were chosen for the Guinea savannah ecology. The cassava varieties representing the treatments were arranged in a randomized complete block design with three replications at each location. A total of 13 cassava varieties were used for the study. Cassava cuttings measuring 20-25 cm were planted using a spacing of 1m × 1m. Harvesting was done at 12 months after planting to assess storage root yield of the cassava varieties at the different locations. Analysis of variance indicated significant ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) varietal differences among the local varieties for root yield (11.25 - 29.27 t/ha), harvest index (0.46 - 0.75), storage root number per plant (2 - 8 roots/plant) and mean storage root weight (307.0 - 972.4 g) in Transition zone. However, there were no significant varietal differences between the improved varieties for root yield. The best performing

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: barchus2003@yahoo.com;

improved varieties in the transition zone were Bankyehemaa (28.06 t/ha), Eskamaye (27.76 t/ha) and Nkabom (26.99 t/ha) whilst Filindiakong (22.65 t/ha) and Nyerikobga (31.17 t/ha) were identified to be suitable for the Guinea savannah ecology. Therefore, these cassava varieties can be cultivated to mitigate the effects of climate change on cassava and ensure food security.

**Keywords:** Cassava varieties; climate change; storage root yield; agroecologies.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The increased global population and pressure on arable land have resulted in the extension of farming to marginal and areas with prolonged dry seasons which were not originally used for crop production [1,2]. It has therefore become necessary to develop improved technologies to sustain crop production and productivity under such moisture limited environments [3]. Adaptation which refers to adjustment in natural and human systems in response to actual or expected climatic stimuli is one of the policy options for reducing the negative impact of climate change [4,5]. Common adaptation methods in agriculture include use of new crop varieties and livestock species that are better suited to drier conditions and changing planting dates [6,7]. One of the major crops used for combating the effects of climate change in marginal ecologies is cassava [8].

Cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz) the second most important food staple (after rice) in terms of calories per capita in Africa with more than 800 million people depend on it for their calorie needs [9]. It is mostly preferred by resource poor farmers due to its low input requirements. Cassava has been adjudged in Africa, as a food security crop mainly because of its ability and capacity to yield well in drought-prone, marginal wastelands even under poor management where other crops would fail [10]. The crop possesses certain adaptive physiological and morphological features that make it resilient in such stress ecologies [11,12]. Besides, providing more returns per unit input than other root and tuber crops, cassava has better adaptability to diverse and poor soil conditions and wide flexibility in planting and harvesting times [13,14].

However, productivity of cassava like most crops is constrained by many factors including drought stress in susceptible cultivars with yield losses of close to 80% being recorded [15]. As a result of climate change, moisture will likely become increasingly scarce for rain-fed agriculture and consequently, drought stress will become a major environmental factor affecting cassava

production [16,17]. The performance of cassava like most crops is susceptible to variations in environmental conditions leading to differential response of the crop to different environments [18]. Dixon and Nukenine [19] defined this crossover performance as genotype x environmental (GxE) interaction. Otoo et al. [20] and Ntawuruhunga and Dixon [21] further indicated that GxE affects the selection efficiency in plant breeding thereby resulting in less genetic gain. For this reason, plant breeders often test crops in several environments to eliminate the negative effect of genotype x environment interaction and identify stable and adaptable genotypes [22,19].

Several studies have reported that moisture stress during the establishment stages of cassava can have severe effect on the production of the crop [3,23,24] (Perez et al. 2011). Therefore there is the need to identify high yielding cassava genotypes that are adapted to moisture stress ecologies. El-Sharkawy [25] and Okogbenin et al. [26] reported that genotypic variability exists among cassava genotypes in response to water stress, with some varieties having high levels of drought tolerance whilst others are susceptible. Aina et al. [27] also indicated that local germplasm of cassava possess rich source of genetic variability which can be exploited for the improvement for drought tolerance. This genetic potential can be exploited to identify and develop cassava varieties that can tolerate limited rainfall conditions. The main objective of this study was to identify and select high yielding adapted local and improved drought-tolerant cassava varieties adaptation to some agro-ecologies of Ghana.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 Study Sites

The trials were conducted in the transition ecology; Techiman North (four communities) in the Brong Ahafo region and Guinea savannah ecology; Damongo (three communities) in the Savannah region of Ghana during the 2014 and 2015 growing seasons. The two ecologies were

specifically chosen for their differences in weather conditions and vegetation. The Techiman north district falls within the transition ecology is characterized by a bi-modal rainfall regime with an average annual rainfall of about 1,250 mm. With a total potential evapotranspiration of about 1,430 mm, there is 12.6 % annual water deficit and an average annual aridity index of 0.87. Average annual temperature and relative humidity are estimated to be around 26°C and 75 % respectively. The West Gonja district (Damongo) on the other hand falls within the Guinea savannah agroecology which is characterized by a uni-modal rainfall regime with an average of 1033mm which lasts 5-6 months and followed by long dry season often lasting 6-7 months in the year. Average annual temperature and relative humidity are 28.1 and 61% respectively. Potential evapotranspiration amounts of 1720 mm per annum results in an excess of about 66.4 % evapotranspiration over rainfall [28,29].

## 2.2 Cassava Varieties Used

A total of 13 cassava varieties made up of six improved and seven local farmer-preferred varieties which are grown in the different ecologies. The improved varieties included, Bankyehemaa, IFAD, Essambankye, Nkabom (Transition ecology), Filindiakong, Nyerikobga and Eskamaye (Guinea savannah ecology). The different cassava varieties were selected for the different communities and ecologies because they were broadly developed for those specific ecologies but had not been tested in the communities. On the other hand, the selection of local varieties was based on the most popular variety preferred and grown by farmers in the specific communities to serve as check varieties.

## 2.3 Land Preparation and Planting

Appropriate land preparation method was adopted for the different ecologies. In the case of the transition ecology, the land was first slashed and the debris collected and burnt after which planting was done. However, in the Guinea savannah ecology, the land was ploughed and harrowed as is mostly done before cultivation. The field was then divided into plots measuring 10m x 40m for each variety. Ridges were manually raised one metre apart for planting. Planting was done on top of the ridges using a spacing of one metre between plants. This gave a spacing of 1m x 1m and eventually a plant population density of 10,000 plants per hectare.

Mature cassava cuttings measuring 20-25 cm were planted on ridges. Weeds were controlled when necessary to minimize competition.

## 2.4 Data Collection

### 2.4.1 Fresh root yield (t/ha) and yield components

Three subplots measuring 4m x 4m were sampled for yield data assessment. Data collected at harvesting (12 months after planting) included storage root yield (t/ha), number of roots per plant. Harvest index was estimated as the ratio of root yield to total biomass. Mean root weight (g) was also estimated as the total root weight divided by the number of roots per plot.

## 2.5 Data Analysis

General analyses of variance were performed for all traits using the GenStat statistical package [29]. Combined factorial analysis was carried out on the improved varieties considering location and variety as different factors. Significant differences between varieties were tested using the least significant difference test ( $P \leq 0.05$ ). Two sample t-test was performed to compare the mean performance of all improved varieties versus mean of all local varieties using the GenStat version 12.1 [30].

## 3. RESULTS

### 3.1 Performance of Cassava Varieties in the Transition Ecology

Significant differences ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) were observed among the improved varieties for all the traits measured except storage root yield (Table 1a). Location effect was also significant for all traits. Variety x location effect was also significant for all traits except harvest index. Variety effect was also significant ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) for all traits in the case of the local varieties (Table 1b). Analysis of the average performance of the varieties (Table 1c) identified Bankyehemaa as the highest yielding variety (28.06 t/ha) with Nkabom producing the lowest root yield (26.99 t/ha) among the improved varieties. Essambankye had the highest harvest index (0.66) with Bankyehemaa having the least (0.57). Mean root weight (g) ranged between 310.5 g and 548.5 g for Nkabom and Bankyehemaa respectively. While Bankyehemaa had the highest number of storage roots per plant with Essambankye having

the least number of roots per plant. Among the local varieties (Table 1c), Nkomte recorded the highest root yield (29.27 t/ha) whilst AlataRed had the least (11.25 t/ha). Harvest index ranged between 0.46 (Dakware) and 0.75 (AlataRed). However, the minimum and the maximum mean root weight were 307.0 g and 972.4g for Dakware and AlataRed respectively. AlataRed again had the lowest number of roots per plant (2.03) with Dakware producing the highest number of roots per plant (8.36). The improved varieties gave higher average root yield (t/ha), harvest index, MRW and root no/plant

respectively than the mean of all the local varieties (Table 1c).

### 3.2 Performance of Cassava Varieties in the Damongo District (Savannah Ecology)

Analysis of variance indicated significant main effects of variety, location for all traits measured (Table 2a). Apart from root yield, variety x environment interaction (GxE) effect was not significant ( $P > .05$ ) for the other traits. Nyerikobga was observed to have both highest

**Table 1a. Analysis of variance for root yield and yield components of improved varieties in Techiman North**

Source	df	Root yield (t/ha)	Harvest index	MRW	Root no/ plant
Variety (V)	2	3.68ns	0.008*	164897.0**	26.95**
Location (L)	3	668.43**	0.050**	112068.0**	21.15**
V x L	6	85.06**	0.001ns	35295.0**	1.08*
Residual	22	15.22	0.002	2643	0.32
Total	35	81.72	0.006	27065	3.76

ns = Not significant, \* = Significant at 5%, \*\* = Significant at 1%, MRW = Mean root weight

**Table 1b. Analysis of variance for root yield and yield components of local varieties in Techiman North**

Source	df	Root yield (t/ha)	Harvest index	MRW	Root no/ plant
Replications	2	121.15	0.002	3132.00	2.43
Variety	5	107.20*	0.035***	171966.00*	16.36***
Residual	13	38.32	0.002	49264.00	0.38
Total	20	63.82	0.011	75327.00	4.58

ns = Not significant, \* = Significant at 5%, \*\* = Significant at 1%, MRW = Mean root weight

**Table 1c. Mean performance of improved varieties in Techiman North**

Variety	Root yield	HI	MRW	Root no./plant
<b>Improved</b>				
Bankyehemaa	28.06	0.57	310.5	8.97
Essambankye	27.76	0.66	471.9	6.40
Nkabom	26.99	0.60	548.5	7.05
Mean	27.60	0.62	443.6	7.47
LSD (5%)	3.30	0.08	87.05	0.97
CV	14.13	7.18	10.65	10.09
<b>Local</b>				
AlataRed	11.25	0.75	972.4	2.03
AlataWhite	18.84	0.74	715.9	3.08
Bensere	15.89	0.64	357.7	5.66
Dakware	23.27	0.46	307.0	8.36
Memmawo	18.03	0.57	579.9	4.51
Nkomte	29.27	0.64	465.3	6.53
Mean	18.92	0.64	536.5	5.12
LSD (5%)	9.89	0.09	389.4	1.15
CV	27.47	7.86	38.65	12.55

MRW = Mean root weight

**Table 2a. Analysis of variance for root yield and yield components of four cassava varieties from three communities in Damongo district**

Source	df	Root yield (t/ha)	Harvest index	MRW (g)	Root no/ plant
Variety (V)	3	73.95*	0.039**	41617.00**	8.14*
Location (L)	2	258.92**	0.004*	12147.00*	28.99**
V x L	6	87.02*	0.001 <sup>ns</sup>	4963.00 <sup>ns</sup>	0.47 <sup>ns</sup>
Residual	22	16.77	0.007	2320.00	1.87
Total	35				

*ns = Not significant, \* = Significant at 5%, \*\* = Significant at 1%*

**Table 2b. Mean performance of four cassava varieties from three communities in Damongo district**

Variety	Root yield (t/ha)	Harvest index	MRW (g)	Root no/ plant
Biabasse**	19.09	0.54	429.42	8.91
Bankyehemaa	19.71	0.58	189.4	10.97
Filindiakong	22.65	0.65	385.8	7.32
Nyerikobga	31.17	0.74	384.6	8.37
Mean	23.16	0.63	347.31	8.89
LSD (5%)	7.286	0.05	85.70	2.43
CV	17.70	3.97	15.27	15.78

*\*\* = local variety, MRW = Mean root weight*

root yield (t/ha) and highest harvest index whilst (Table 2b). Biabasse had the lowest root yield (19.05 t/ha) and lowest harvest index (0.54). In terms of mean root weight, Biabasse had the highest with Bankyehemaa having the lowest mean root weight. The highest average root number per plant was observed for Bankyehemaa (10.97), whilst Filindiakong produced the lowest average number of roots per plant (7.32).

### 3.3 Comparison of Performance Improved Varieties and Local Varieties

The improved varieties generally gave higher root yield root yield (t/ha) in all locations than the local varieties across the different ecologies (Table 3). The average storage root yield for the improved varieties at Techiman North in the transition zone (27.60 t/ha) was higher than the average root yield obtained at Damongo in the

Guinea savannah ecology (24.51 t/ha). Compared to the respective average root yield of the local varieties, the margins of differences were 22.11% and 26.7% for the Guinea savannah ecology and transition zone respectively.

### 3.4 Selection of High Yielding Improved and Local Varieties for Different Ecologies

The improved and local varieties also exhibited different adaptations to the different agro-ecologies (Tables 4 and 5). Three varieties Bankyehemaa, Essambankye and Nkabom were also selected for the Techiman North district in the forest savannah transition zone whilst Bankyehemaa, Nyerikobga and Filindiakong were selected as the suitable improved varieties in the Guinea savannah ecology.

**Table 3. Comparison of mean root yield of all improved varieties with average root yield of local varieties in the different ecologies (T-Test)**

Variety	Transition Techiman north	Savannah West Gonja (Damongo)
Improved (I)	27.60	24.51
Local (L)	20.24	19.09
Yield difference	7.36	5.42
% diff (I/L) <sup>#</sup>	26.70	22.11
SED	2.381	1.231
P<0.05	0.003	0.021

*% diff (I/L)<sup>#</sup> = Percentage yield difference*

**Table 4. Root yield (t/ha) for best improved varieties for the different ecologies**

Variety	Transition	Guinea savannah
	Techiman	Damongo
Bankyehemaa	28.06	19.71
Filindiakong		22.65
Nyerikobga		31.17
Eskamaye		
Essambankye	27.76	
Nkabom	26.99	
<b>Mean</b>	27.60	24.51

**Table 5. Root yield (t/ha) for best local varieties for the different ecologies**

Variety	Transition	Guinea savannah
	Techiman	Damongo
Dakware	23.27	
Nkomte	25.33	
Biabasse		19.05
<b>Mean</b>	24.30	19.05

#### 4. DISCUSSION

Genotypic variability among cassava varieties for tolerance to biotic and abiotic stresses is a very important opportunity for the improvement of the crop [31,25,26]. The results from the study confirmed earlier reported findings about the resilient nature of different cassava varieties. The improved crop varieties generally gave better performance than the local farmer preferred varieties which had low yielding potential and susceptible to common pests and diseases of cassava. However, there were exceptions as some local varieties outperformed some of the improved varieties. This could be due to the fact that these local varieties were mostly adapted to their respective environments and are much better suited than newly introduced varieties. This is because landraces or local varieties serve as rich genetic resources of useful traits of agronomic importance [27]. The extent of genetic gain or progress made in genetic improvement depends on how wide the genetic diversity among landraces is [32,33]. This suggests that the local cassava varieties contain ample genetic variation that can be utilized for further improvement.

Harvest index which represents the proportion of dry matter that is partitioned into the economic parts [34] and for that matter, the efficiency of the

different varieties, was also highly significant and varied among the different varieties. In most cases the improved varieties had better partitioning of dry matter into the roots compared with the local varieties. Most local farmer-preferred varieties are tall and non-branching due to several years of careful selection of intercropping-compatible cassava varieties [35-38]. The improved varieties used were mostly early branching and as such had the tendency to convert most of their assimilates into storage root bulking earlier in the growing season thereby resulting in much higher root yield. Earlier studies have suggested that cassava varieties differ in the partitioning of dry matter into the various plant organs with some preferring stems to roots at a much earlier stage than others [39, 34, 40]. It has been suggested that cassava varieties that begin partitioning of dry matter into storage roots at an earlier growth stage (high initial harvest index) eventually give better yield than varieties that channel dry matter into storage roots later [41, 42]. This has implications on the selection of cassava varieties for climate change mitigation since such varieties are expected to be highly efficient in the utilization of moisture for transpiration and conversion into dry matter [43]. Average harvest index was also lower in the transition ecology compared to the Guinea savannah due to the ability of varieties to develop more above ground biomass in relatively more humid forest and transition ecologies compared to savannah ecologies [44].

The cassava varieties also differed in number of storage roots with Bankyehemaa consistently producing high number of storage roots compared with the local varieties and even some of the other improved varieties. This could be due to the high yield potential of Bankyehemaa. Studies have indicated that one of the attributes of high yielding cassava varieties is the ability to produce high number of storage roots [39, 34]. However having more number of roots implies a larger sink load which will result in several sinks competing for the same amount of assimilates. Therefore varieties that initiate more storage roots than necessary tend to have smaller storage roots after harvest [34]. This was evident in Bankyehemaa which had the highest number of storage roots per plant but lower mean root weight compared to the other varieties. In considering varieties, efforts should be made to select varieties that combine high number of storage roots with good partitioning efficiency to ensure that big storage roots are obtained after harvest.

Generally the improved varieties performed better in all traits than the local varieties across all locations though some of the local varieties gave comparable yields. The margins of differences were 22.11% in the Guinea savannah ecology and 26.7% in Techiman North district the transition ecology. This implies that the transition ecology was relatively more conducive for the expression of the full potential of the varieties [44]. In stress environment, genetic variation for drought tolerance become more pronounced resulting in the wider variation between improved varieties and local varieties in the guinea savannah ecology than the transition ecology. The yield variations among the improved variations did not differ much compared to the variations among the local varieties. Cavatassi et al. [45] suggested that more diverse crop germplasm (as kept on farmers' fields) guards against total crop failure and provides insurance for food security. Aina et al. [27] also indicated that landraces provide rich source of germplasm for crop improvement. The results from this study provide opportunity for selection from the pool of local varieties for further improvement to identify drought tolerant varieties to boost cassava production. The study also identified certain cassava varieties as suitable for the transition and Guinea savannah ecologies due to their high yielding potential in the districts in the various ecologies. Agro-ecology specificity of cassava varieties as a result of their adaptability have long been reported by several studies [27, 19,21] and this need to be considered critically when recommending cassava varieties for farmers. Three varieties were also identified for the Guinea savannah ecology (Nyerikobga, Filindiakong and Bankyehemaa).

## 5. CONCLUSIONS

The study identified three improved varieties (Bankyehemaa (28.06 t/ha), Eskamaye (27.76 t/ha) and Nkabom (26.99 t/ha) as suitable for the transition ecology and two improved varieties (Filindiakong and Nyerikobga) as suitable for the Guinea savannah ecology One local variety (Nkomte) gave comparatively high storage root yield in the transition ecology which can be selected for further improvement.

## COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## REFERENCES

1. Baulcombe D, Crute I, Davies B, Dunwell J, Gale M, Jones J, Pretty J, Sutherland W, Toulmin C, Green N. Reaping the benefits: Science and the sustainable intensification of global agriculture. The Royal Society: London, UK; 2009.
2. Challinor A, Wheeler T, Garforth C, Craufurd P, Kassam A. Assessing the vulnerability of food crop systems in Africa to climate change. *Climatic Change*. 2007;83:831-399.
3. Bergantin VR, Yamauchi A, Pardales JR Jr, Bolatete DM Jr. Screening cassava genotypes for resistance to water deficit during crop establishment. *Philippian Journal of Crop Science*. 2004; 29(1): 29-39
4. IPCC. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Impacts, Vulnerability and Adaptation. Contribution of Working Group III to the Third Assessment Report on the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge UK: Cambridge University Press; 2001.
5. Adger WN, Huq S, Brown K, Conway D, Hulme M. Adaptation to Climate Change in the Developing World. *Progress in Development Studies* No. 3; 2003.
6. Nhemachena C, Hassan R. Micro-Level Analysis of Farmers' Adaptation to Climate Change in Southern Africa. IFPRI Discussion Paper No. 00714. International Food Policy Research Institute. Washington DC; 2007.
7. Bradshaw B, Dolan H, Smith B. Farm-level adaptation to climatic variability and change: crop diversification in the Canadian Prairies. *Climatic Change*. 2004;67.
8. Ifeanyi-Obi CC, Issa FO. Barriers faced by cassava farmers in adapting to climate change in oron agricultural zone of Akwa Ibom State. *IOSR Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science (IOSR-JAVS)*. 2013;4(6):19-26.
9. Burns A, Gleadow R, Cliff J, Zacarias A, Cavagnaro T. Cassava: the drought, war and famine crop in a changing world. *Sustainability*. 2010;2(11): 3572-3607.
10. Akinwale MG, Akinyele BO, Odiyi AC, Dixon AGO. Genotype X Environment Interaction and yield performance of 43 improved cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz) genotypes at three agro-climatic

- zones in Nigeria. *British Biotechnology Journal*. 2011;1(3):68-84.
11. Adjebeng-Danquah J, Gracen VE, Offei SK, Asante IK, Asante IK, Manu-Aduening J. Genetic variability in storage root bulking of cassava genotypes under irrigation and no irrigation. *Agriculture & Food Security*. 2016;5(9):1-12.
  12. Adjebeng-Danquah J, Asante IK, Manu-Aduening J, Agyare RY, Gracen V, Offei SK. Genotypic variability in some morpho-physiological traits in different environments and their relationship with cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz) root yield. *International Journal of Agronomy*. 2020;1-19.
  13. Enesi R, Hauser S, Pypers P, Kreye C, Tariku M, Six J. Understanding changes in cassava root dry matter yield by different planting dates, crop ages at harvest, fertilizer application and varieties. *European Journal of Agronomy*. 2022; 133:126448.
  14. Fregene M, Bernal A, Duque M, Dixon AGO, Tohme J. AFLP analysis of African cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz) germplasm resistant to the cassava mosaic disease (CMD). *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*. 2000;100:678-685.
  15. Aina OO, Dixon AGO, Akinrinde EA. Effect of soil moisture stress on growth and yield of cassava in Nigeria. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Science*. 2007a;10(18):3085-3090.
  16. Chemura A, Schauburger B, Gornott C. Impacts of climate change on agro-climatic suitability of major food crops in Ghana. *PLoS One*. 2020;15(6):1-21.
  17. Raza A, Razzaq A, Mehmood SS, Zou X, Zhang X, Lv Y, Xu J. Impact of climate change on crops adaptation and strategies to tackle its outcome: A review. *Plants (Basel)*. 2019;8(34):1-29.
  18. Mkumbira J, Mahungu NM, Gullberg U. Grouping locations for efficient cassava evaluation in Malawi. *Experimental Agriculture*. 2003;39:167-179.
  19. Dixon AGO, Nukenine EN. Genotype x environment interaction and optimum resource allocation for yield and yield components of cassava. *African Crop Science Journal*. 2000;8:1-10.
  20. Otoo E, Okonkwo CR, Asiedu R. Stability studies of hybrid yam genotypes in Ghana. *Journal of Food, Agriculture and Environment*. 2006;4(1):234-238.
  21. Ntawuruhunga P, Dixon AGO. Quantitative variation and interrelationship between factors influencing cassava yield. *Journal of Applied Biosciences*. 2010;26:1594-1602.
  22. Haldavankar PC, Joshi GD, Bhave SG, Klandekar RG, Sawant SS. Stability of yield and yield attributing phenotypic characters in sweet potato, *Journal of Root Crops*. 2009;35(1):28-35.
  23. Ssemakula G, Dixon AGO. Genotype x environment interaction, stability and agronomic performance of carotenoid-rich cassava. *Scientific Research and Essay*. 2007;2(9):390-399.
  24. Bakayoko S, Tschannen A, Nindjin C, Dao D, Girardin O, Assa A. Impact of water stress on fresh tuber yield and dry matter content of cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz) in Côte d'Ivoire. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*. 2009;4(1):021-027.
  25. El-Sharkawy MA. Physiological characteristics of cassava tolerance to prolonged drought in the tropics: Implications for breeding cultivars adapted to seasonally dry and semiarid environments. *Brazilian Journal of Plant Physiology*. 2007;19(4):257-286.
  26. Okogbenin E, Ekanayake IJ, Porto MCM. Genotypic variability in adaptation responses of selected clones of cassava to drought stress in the Sudan savannah zone of Nigeria. *Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science*. 2003;189:376-389.
  27. Aina OO, Dixon AGO, Illona P, Akinrinde EA. GxE interaction effects on yield and yield components of cassava (landraces and improved) genotypes in the savannah regions of Nigeria. *African Journal of Biotechnology*. 2009;8(19):4933-4945.
  28. Agyemang I, Abdul-Korah R. Strategies to combat desertification in Northern Region of Ghana: The role of Environmental Protection Agency. *Physical Sciences Research International*. 2014;2(2):35-43.
  29. EPA. National Action Programme to Combat Drought and Desertification Final Report. Environmental Protection Agency Accra-Ghana. 2003;160.
  30. Payne RW, Murray DA, Harding SA, Baird DB, Soutar DM. *Genstat for Windows (12<sup>th</sup> Edition) Introduction*. VSN International, Hemel Hempstead; 2009.
  31. Aina OO, Dixon AGO, Akinrinde EA. Genetic variability in cassava as it



- influences storage root yield in Nigeria. *Journal of Biological Sciences*. 2007b; 7:765-770.
32. Govindaraj M, Vetriventhan M, Srinivasan M. Importance of genetic diversity assessment in crop plants and its recent advances: an overview of its analytical Perspectives. *Genetics Research International*. 2014;2015:1-14.
  33. Hausmann BIG, Parzies HK, Presterl T, Susic Z, Miedane T. Plant genetic resources in crop improvement. *Plant Genetic Resources*. 2004;2(1):3–21.
  34. Alves AAC. Cassava botany and physiology. In: Hillcocks RJ, Thresh JM. and Bellotti A. (Eds.). *Cassava: Biology, Production and Utilization*. 2002;67–90.
  35. Nweke FI, Spencer DSC, Lynam JK. The cassava transformation: Africa's best kept secret. Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan. 2002; 273.
  36. Njukwe E, Hanna R, Kirscht H, Araki S. Farmers perception and criteria for cassava variety preference in Cameroon. *African Study Monographs*. 2013;34(4): 221–234.
  37. Fajinmi AA, Fijinmi OB. Evaluation of pepper intercropped with tall-companion crop in the management of pepper venial mottle poty virus disease and its vector on cultivated pepper (*Capsicum annum* L.) in Nigeria. *Agricultural Journal*. 2010;5:205-210.
  38. Njoku DN, Afuape SO, Ebeniro CN.. Growth and yield of cassava as influenced by grain cowpea population density in Southeastern Nigeria. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*. 2010;5(20):2778-2781.
  39. El-Sharkawy MA. Cassava biology and physiology. *Plant Molecular Biology*. 2004; 56:481–501.
  40. Okogbenin E, Fregene M. Genetic and QTL mapping of early root bulking in an F<sub>2</sub> mapping population of non-inbred parents in cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz). *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*. 2002; 106:58–66.
  41. Adjebeng-Danquah J, Manu-Aduening J, Gracen V, Offei SK, Asante IK. Genotypic variation in abscisic acid content, carbon isotope ratio and their relationship with cassava growth and yield under moisture stress and irrigation. *Journal of Crop Science and Biotechnology*. 2016;19(4): 263-273.
  42. Okogbenin E, Marin J, Fregene M. QTL analysis for early yield in a pseudo F<sub>2</sub> population of cassava. *African Journal of Biotechnology*. 2008;7(2):31–138.
  43. Okogbenin E, Setter TL, Ferguson ME, Mutege R, Alves AC, Ceballos H, Fregene M. Phenotyping cassava for adaptation to drought. In P Monneveux, J-M Ribaut, eds. *Drought Phenotyping in Crops: From Theory to Practice*. CIMMYT/Generation Challenge Programme, Mexico City. 2011; 381-400.
  44. Baafi E, Safo-Kantanka O. Agronomy and processing attributes of some cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz) genotypes as affected by location and age at harvest in Ghana. *International Journal of Agricultural Research*. 2008;3(3):211-218.
  45. Cavatassi R, Leslie Lipper L, Hopkins J. The role of crop genetic diversity in coping with agricultural production shocks: insights from Eastern Ethiopia. *ESA Working Paper No. 06-17*. 2006;20. Available:<http://www.fao.org/3/a-ah805e.pdf>

© 2022 Adjebeng-Danquah et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Peer-review history:*  
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:  
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/90535>